

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

K LINE NORTHERN EXTENSION



Metro

JULY 2024

K LINE NORTHERN EXTENSION TRANSIT CORRIDOR PROJECT

Draft Environmental Impact Report

State Clearinghouse Number: 2021040368

Prepared for:



Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Prepared by:



888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1800
Los Angeles, California 90017

JULY 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.2 Purpose of the Draft Environmental Impact Report	ES-1
ES.3 Project Summary	ES-1
ES.3.1 Project Background and History	ES-2
ES.3.2 Project Objectives	ES-4
ES.3.3 Environmental Review Process	ES-4
ES.4 Project Description	ES-5
ES.4.1 San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment	ES-10
ES.4.2 Fairfax Alignment	ES-11
ES.4.3 La Brea Alignment	ES-11
ES.4.4 Hollywood Bowl Design Option	ES-11
ES.4.5 Maintenance and Storage Facility	ES-12
ES.5 Environmental Analysis	ES-12
ES.5.1 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	ES-14
ES.6 Project Alternatives	ES-15
ES.6.1 Alternatives to the Proposed Project	ES-15
ES.6.2 Comparison of Alternatives	ES-18
ES.6.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative	ES-22
ES.7 Public Outreach	ES-22
ES.8 Areas of Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved	ES-23
ES.8.1 Areas of Controversy	ES-23
ES.8.2 Issues to Be Resolved	ES-23
ES.9 Next Steps	ES-23
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose of this Draft Environmental Impact Report	1-1
1.2 Environmental Impact Report Background	1-2
1.3 Scope and Content of the Draft EIR	1-2
1.4 Environmental Impact Report Organization	1-4
CHAPTER 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1 Project Background and History	2-3
2.2 Project Objectives	2-4
2.3 Project Setting and Location	2-4
2.4 KNE Project Description	2-6
2.4.1 Alignments	2-6

2.4.2	Hollywood Bowl Design Option	2-42
2.4.3	Maintenance and Storage Facility	2-52
2.4.4	Tunnel and System Components	2-54
2.4.5	Construction Approach	2-57
2.4.6	Construction Sections	2-62
2.4.7	Operating Hours and Frequency	2-70
2.5	Permits and Approvals	2-70
CHAPTER 3 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....		3.1-1
3.1	Introduction	3.1-1
3.1.1	Organization of Chapter 3.....	3.1-1
3.1.2	Chapter 3 Format and Content	3.1-1
3.2	Aesthetics.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction	3.2-1
3.2.2	Regulatory Framework	3.2-1
3.2.3	Methodology.....	3.2-2
3.2.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.2-4
3.2.5	Existing Setting.....	3.2-4
3.2.6	Project Measures	3.2-27
3.2.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-28
3.3	Air Quality	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction	3.3-1
3.3.2	Regulatory Framework	3.3-1
3.3.3	Methodology.....	3.3-7
3.3.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.3-16
3.3.5	Existing Setting.....	3.3-16
3.3.6	Project Measures	3.3-22
3.3.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-24
3.4	Biological Resources	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
3.4.2	Regulatory Framework	3.4-1
3.4.3	Methodology.....	3.4-2
3.4.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.4-3
3.4.5	Existing Setting.....	3.4-6
3.4.6	Project Measures	3.4-11
3.4.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-12

3.5	Communities, Population, and Housing	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
3.5.2	Regulatory Framework	3.5-1
3.5.3	Methodology	3.5-2
3.5.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.5-2
3.5.5	Existing Setting	3.5-2
3.5.6	Project Measures.....	3.5-27
3.5.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.5-28
3.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Cultural Resources Introduction.....	3.6-1
3.6.2	Regulatory Framework	3.6-1
3.6.3	Methodology	3.6-2
3.6.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.6-3
3.6.5	Existing Setting	3.6-6
3.6.6	Project Measures.....	3.6-24
3.6.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.6-24
3.6.8	Paleontological Resources Introduction.....	3.6-59
3.6.9	Regulatory Framework	3.6-59
3.6.10	Methodology	3.6-59
3.6.11	Resource Study Area.....	3.6-60
3.6.12	Existing Setting	3.6-60
3.6.13	Project Measures.....	3.6-64
3.6.14	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.6-64
3.7	Energy.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
3.7.2	Regulatory Framework	3.7-1
3.7.3	Methodology	3.7-3
3.7.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.7-3
3.7.5	Existing Setting	3.7-3
3.7.6	Project Measures.....	3.7-8
3.7.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.7-10
3.8	Geology and Soils.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
3.8.2	Regulatory Framework	3.8-1
3.8.3	Methodology	3.8-2
3.8.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.8-3
3.8.5	Existing Setting	3.8-3



	3.8.6	Project Measures	3.8-16
	3.8.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-16
3.9		Greenhouse Gas Emissions	3.9-1
	3.9.1	Introduction	3.9-1
	3.9.2	Regulatory Framework	3.9-1
	3.9.3	Methodology.....	3.9-3
	3.9.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.9-8
	3.9.5	Existing Setting.....	3.9-10
	3.9.6	Project Measures	3.9-12
	3.9.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-14
3.10		Growth Inducing Impacts.....	3.10-1
	3.10.1	Introduction	3.10-1
	3.10.2	Regulatory Framework	3.10-1
	3.10.3	Methodology.....	3.10-2
	3.10.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.10-3
	3.10.5	Existing Setting.....	3.10-8
	3.10.6	Project Measures	3.10-28
	3.10.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-30
3.11		Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.11-1
	3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-1
	3.11.2	Regulatory Framework	3.11-1
	3.11.3	Methodology.....	3.11-3
	3.11.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.11-4
	3.11.5	Existing Setting.....	3.11-4
	3.11.6	Project Measures	3.11-57
	3.11.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-61
3.12		Hydrology and Water Quality	3.12-1
	3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
	3.12.2	Regulatory Framework	3.12-1
	3.12.3	Methodology.....	3.12-4
	3.12.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.12-5
	3.12.5	Existing Setting.....	3.12-5
	3.12.6	Project Measures	3.12-15
	3.12.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-16
3.13		Land Use and Planning.....	3.13-1
	3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
	3.13.2	Regulatory Framework	3.13-1

3.13.3	Methodology	3.13-2
3.13.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.13-2
3.13.5	Existing Setting	3.13-2
3.13.6	Project Measures.....	3.13-26
3.13.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.13-26
3.14	Noise and Vibration	3.14-1
3.14.1	Introduction	3.14-1
3.14.2	Regulatory Framework	3.14-1
3.14.3	Methodology	3.14-3
3.14.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.14-11
3.14.5	Existing Setting	3.14-11
3.14.6	Project Measures.....	3.14-23
3.14.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.14-26
3.15	Public Services and Recreation	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-1
3.15.2	Regulatory Framework	3.15-1
3.15.3	Methodology	3.15-2
3.15.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.15-2
3.15.5	Existing Setting	3.15-2
3.15.6	Project Measures.....	3.15-19
3.15.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.15-21
3.16	Transportation	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Regulatory Framework	3.16-1
3.16.3	Methodology	3.16-3
3.16.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.16-4
3.16.5	Existing Setting	3.16-4
3.16.6	Project Measures.....	3.16-25
3.16.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.16-27
3.17	Tribal Cultural Resources	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-1
3.17.2	Regulatory Framework	3.17-1
3.17.3	Methodology	3.17-2
3.17.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.17-2
3.17.5	Existing Setting	3.17-2
3.17.6	Project Measures.....	3.17-14
3.17.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures	3.17-14

3.18	Utilities and Service Systems	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction	3.18-1
3.18.2	Regulatory Framework	3.18-1
3.18.3	Methodology.....	3.18-3
3.18.4	Resource Study Area.....	3.18-3
3.18.5	Existing Setting.....	3.18-3
3.18.6	Project Measures	3.18-11
3.18.7	Impact Evaluation and Mitigation Measures.....	3.18-11
3.19	Cumulative Impacts	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction	3.19-1
3.19.2	Aesthetics.....	3.19-3
3.19.3	Air Quality	3.19-4
3.19.4	Biological Resources	3.19-7
3.19.5	Communities, Population, and Housing	3.19-9
3.19.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.19-10
3.19.7	Energy	3.19-15
3.19.8	Geology and Soils.....	3.19-16
3.19.9	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.19-17
3.19.10	Growth Inducing Impacts.....	3.19-19
3.19.11	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.19-20
3.19.12	Hydrology and Water Quality	3.19-21
3.19.13	Land Use and Planning.....	3.19-22
3.19.14	Noise and Vibration	3.19-23
3.19.15	Public Services and Recreation	3.19-24
3.19.16	Transportation	3.19-25
3.19.17	Tribal Cultural Resources	3.19-27
3.19.18	Utilities and Service Systems	3.19-29
3.19.19	Summary of Cumulative Impacts.....	3.19-34
CHAPTER 4	OTHER CEQA REQUIRED TOPICS	4-1
4.1	Effects Determined Not to be Significant	4-1
4.1.1	Agriculture and Forestry Resources.....	4-1
4.1.2	Wildfire	4-2
4.2	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts.....	4-8
4.2.1	Cultural Resources	4-8
4.2.2	Paleontological Resources	4-9
4.3	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	4-10

CHAPTER 5	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Project Objectives	5-1
5.3	Alternatives Considered but Eliminated	5-2
5.4	Alternatives Considered	5-9
5.4.1	No Project Alternative	5-9
5.4.2	High Frequency Bus Alternative	5-12
5.5	Analysis of Alternatives	5-14
5.5.1	KNE Fairfax Alignment (Proposed Project)	5-14
5.5.2	No Project Alternative	5-14
5.5.3	High Frequency Bus Alternative	5-22
5.6	Summary Table of Impacts	5-30
5.7	Environmentally Superior Alternative	5-33
CHAPTER 6	PUBLIC OUTREACH	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Background	6-1
6.3	Public Outreach 2021 – 2024	6-1
6.3.1	2021 EIR Scoping	6-1
6.4	Community Meetings	6-3
6.4.1	2022 Community Meetings	6-3
6.4.2	2023 Outreach	6-4
6.4.3	Ongoing Public Outreach	6-4
6.5	Comments on this Draft EIR	6-6
CHAPTER 7	REFERENCES	7-1
CHAPTER 8	ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS	8-1
CHAPTER 9	LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS AND PREPARERS	9-1

TABLES

Table ES-1.	Characteristics of KNE.....	ES-7
Table ES-2.	Stations by Alignment.....	ES-10
Table ES-3.	Environmental Resource Impact Conclusions by Level of Impact	ES-13
Table ES-4.	Impact Significance Conclusions Comparison of Project and Alternatives to the Project.....	ES-19
Table ES-5.	Public Outreach Meetings for the Project	ES-22
Table 2-1.	Characteristics of KNE.....	2-6
Table 2-2.	Stations by KNE Alignment	2-15
Table 2-3.	Generalized Sequence of Construction Activities.....	2-58
Table 2-4.	Construction Durations and Locations by Section	2-64
Table 2-5.	Permits and Approvals for KNE.....	2-70
Table 3.2-1.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.2-48
Table 3.3-1.	State and Federal Air Quality Standards.....	3.3-2
Table 3.3-2.	SCAQMD Air Quality Significance Thresholds.....	3.3-9
Table 3.3-3.	SCAQMD Localized Significance Thresholds – Construction.....	3.3-14
Table 3.3-4.	SCAQMD Localized Significance Thresholds – Operation	3.3-15
Table 3.3-5.	Los Angeles County Attainment Status.....	3.3-20
Table 3.3-6.	Air Quality Summary for Nearby Monitoring Stations	3.3-21
Table 3.3-7.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Vehicle Miles Traveled	3.3-26
Table 3.3-8.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Vehicle Miles Traveled	3.3-28
Table 3.3-9.	KNE La Brea Alignment Vehicle Miles Traveled	3.3-30
Table 3.3-10.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions.....	3.3-35
Table 3.3-11.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	3.3-36
Table 3.3-12.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions	3.3-38
Table 3.3-13.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions	3.3-39
Table 3.3-14.	KNE La Brea Alignment Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions	3.3-41
Table 3.3-15.	KNE La Brea Alignment Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions	3.3-42
Table 3.3-16.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment (Section 3) with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions	3.3-44
Table 3.3-17.	KNE Fairfax Alignment (Section 2) with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions	3.3-44
Table 3.3-18.	KNE La Brea Alignment (Section 2) with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions	3.3-45
Table 3.3-19.	MSF Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions	3.3-47
Table 3.3-20.	MSF Operational Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	3.3-48

Table 3.3-21.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions – Localized	3.3-51
Table 3.3-22.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions – Localized.....	3.3-54
Table 3.3-23.	KNE La Brea Alignment Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions – Localized	3.3-56
Table 3.3-24.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions with KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment – Localized	3.3-59
Table 3.3-25.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions with KNE Fairfax Alignment – Localized	3.3-60
Table 3.3-26.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions with KNE La Brea Alignment – Localized	3.3-61
Table 3.3-27.	MSF Criteria Pollutant Construction Emissions – Localized	3.3-63
Table 3.3-28.	MSF Operational Criteria Pollutant Emissions – Localized	3.3-64
Table 3.3-29.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.3-70
Table 3.4-1.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.4-27
Table 3.5-1.	Population Growth (2016-2045).....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-2.	Household Growth (2016-2045).....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-3.	Employment Growth (2016-2045).....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-4.	Existing Population, Households, and Employment within the KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2019 and 2021).....	3.5-8
Table 3.5-5.	Low-Income Populations within the KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-9
Table 3.5-6.	Minority Populations within the KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-10
Table 3.5-7.	Zero-Car Households within the KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-11
Table 3.5-8.	Existing Population, Households, and Employment within the KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2019 and 2021).....	3.5-16
Table 3.5-9.	Low-Income Populations within the KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-17
Table 3.5-10.	Minority Populations within the KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2021).....	3.5-17
Table 3.5-11.	Zero-Car Households within the KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (2021).....	3.5-18
Table 3.5-12.	Existing Population, Households, and Employment within the KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (2019 and 2021).....	3.5-19
Table 3.5-13.	Low-Income Populations within the KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-20
Table 3.5-14.	Minority Populations within the KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-20

Table 3.5-15.	Zero-Car Households within the KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-21
Table 3.5-16.	Existing Population, Households, and Employment within MSF Resource Study Area.....	3.5-23
Table 3.5-17.	Low-Income Populations within MSF Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-27
Table 3.5-18.	Minority Populations within MSF Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-27
Table 3.5-19.	Zero-Car Households within MSF Resource Study Area (2021).....	3.5-27
Table 3.5-20.	Population, Household, and Employment Growth within 0.5 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment	3.5-29
Table 3.5-21.	Population, Household, and Employment Growth within 0.5 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.5-30
Table 3.5-22.	Population, Household, and Employment Growth within 0.5 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment.....	3.5-31
Table 3.5-23.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.5-36
Table 3.6-1.	SCCIC Previously Recorded Resources within the KNE Built Environment Resource Study Area.....	3.6-11
Table 3.6-2.	SCCIC Previously Recorded Archaeological Resources	3.6-12
Table 3.6-3.	BERD Resources within the KNE Built Environment Resource Study Area.....	3.6-12
Table 3.6-4.	Historic Preservation Overlay Zones within the KNE Built Environment Resource Study Area.....	3.6-13
Table 3.6-5.	Los Angeles Historic-Cultural Monuments within the KNE Built Environment Resource Study Area.....	3.6-13
Table 3.6-6.	Built Environment Historical Resources within the KNE Built Environment Resource Study Area.....	3.6-16
Table 3.6-7.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Significant Impacts	3.6-25
Table 3.6-8.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Significant Impacts	3.6-29
Table 3.6-9.	KNE La Brea Alignment Significant Impacts	3.6-32
Table 3.6-10.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option Significant Impacts.....	3.6-35
Table 3.6-11.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources.....	3.6-57
Table 3.6-12.	Paleontological Potential of Geologic Units in the Resource Study Area	3.6-62
Table 3.6-13.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Paleontological Resources.....	3.6-70
Table 3.7-1.	Annual Regional Transportation Energy Consumption, Existing (2019) Conditions	3.7-5
Table 3.7-2.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Construction-Related Energy Consumption.....	3.7-11
Table 3.7-3.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Annual Operational Energy Consumption.....	3.7-13
Table 3.7-4.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Construction-Related Energy Consumption	3.7-14

Table 3.7-5.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Annual Operational Energy Consumption	3.7-16
Table 3.7-6.	KNE La Brea Alignment Construction-Related Energy Consumption	3.7-17
Table 3.7-7.	KNE LA Brea Alignment Annual Operational Energy Consumption.....	3.7-19
Table 3.7-8.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Construction-Related Energy Consumption	3.7-20
Table 3.7-9.	KNE Fairfax Alignment with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Construction- Related Energy Consumption	3.7-20
Table 3.7-10.	KNE La Brea Alignment with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Construction- Related Energy Consumption	3.7-21
Table 3.7-11.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option Annual Operational Energy Consumption	3.7-21
Table 3.7-12.	MSF and KNE Alignments Construction-Related Energy Consumption	3.7-23
Table 3.7-13.	MSF Annual Operational Energy Consumption	3.7-24
Table 3.7-14.	KNE Construction-Related Energy Consumption Summary	3.7-25
Table 3.7-15.	KNE Annual Operational Total Energy Consumption Summary	3.7-26
Table 3.7-16.	Consistency with Plans and Policies	3.7-27
Table 3.7-17.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.7-35
Table 3.8-1.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.8-60
Table 3.9-1.	2020 California Statewide GHG Emissions Inventory	3.9-11
Table 3.9-2.	Existing and 2045 without Project Conditions Annual Regional Roadway Traffic GHG Emissions.....	3.9-12
Table 3.9-3.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Construction GHG Emissions	3.9-15
Table 3.9-4.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Operational GHG Emissions.....	3.9-16
Table 3.9-5.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Construction GHG Emissions	3.9-18
Table 3.9-6.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Operational GHG Emissions.....	3.9-18
Table 3.9-7.	KNE La Brea Alignment Construction GHG Emissions	3.9-20
Table 3.9-8.	KNE La Brea Alignment Operational GHG Emissions.....	3.9-21
Table 3.9-9.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Construction GHG Emissions	3.9-23
Table 3.9-10.	KNE Fairfax Alignment with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Construction GHG Emissions	3.9-24
Table 3.9-11.	KNE La Brea Alignment with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Construction GHG Emissions	3.9-24
Table 3.9-12.	KNE Alignments with Hollywood Bowl Design Option Operational GHG Emissions.....	3.9-25
Table 3.9-13.	MSF and Alignment Construction GHG Emissions.....	3.9-28
Table 3.9-14.	MSF Operational GHG Emissions.....	3.9-29
Table 3.9-15.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Vehicle Miles Traveled	3.9-31
Table 3.9-16.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Vehicle Miles Traveled	3.9-32
Table 3.9-17.	KNE La Brea Alignment Vehicle Miles Traveled.....	3.9-33

Table 3.9-18.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.9-36
Table 3.10-1.	Population Growth.....	3.10-8
Table 3.10-2.	Household Growth.....	3.10-9
Table 3.10-3.	Employment Growth.....	3.10-10
Table 3.10-4.	Population within 0.5 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment.....	3.10-11
Table 3.10-5.	Population within 0.25 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment.....	3.10-12
Table 3.10-6.	Households within 0.5 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment.....	3.10-13
Table 3.10-7.	Households within 0.25 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment.....	3.10-13
Table 3.10-8.	Employment within 0.5 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment.....	3.10-14
Table 3.10-9.	Employment within 0.25 Mile of Proposed Stations: KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment.....	3.10-14
Table 3.10-10.	Population within 0.5 Mile of Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.10-20
Table 3.10-11.	Population within 0.25 Mile of Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.10-20
Table 3.10-12.	Households within 0.5 Mile of Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.10-21
Table 3.10-13.	Households within 0.25 Mile of Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.10-21
Table 3.10-14.	Employment within 0.5 Mile of Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.10-22
Table 3.10-15.	Employment within 0.25 Mile of Stations: KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.10-22
Table 3.10-16.	Population within 0.5 Mile of Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment	3.10-23
Table 3.10-17.	Population within 0.25 Mile of Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment	3.10-23
Table 3.10-18.	Households within 0.5 Mile of Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment	3.10-24
Table 3.10-19.	Households within 0.25 Mile of Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment	3.10-24
Table 3.10-20.	Employment within 0.5 Mile of Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment	3.10-25
Table 3.10-21.	Employment within 0.25 Mile of Stations: KNE La Brea Alignment	3.10-25
Table 3.10-22.	Population, Households, and Employment within 0.5 Mile of MSF	3.10-28
Table 3.10-23.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.10-46
Table 3.11-1.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-6
Table 3.11-2.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-17
Table 3.11-3.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-26
Table 3.11-4.	REC Sites within MSF Resource Study Area	3.11-34
Table 3.11-5.	Educational Facilities within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area.....	3.11-50
Table 3.11-6.	Educational Facilities within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-52
Table 3.11-7.	Educational Facilities within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-54
Table 3.11-8.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures ..	3.11-100

Table 3.12-1.	SFHA Zone X (Moderate Flood Hazard) Locations along Alignments	3.12-9
Table 3.12-2.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.12-47
Table 3.13-1.	Consistency with Plans and Policies	3.13-36
Table 3.13-2.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.13-49
Table 3.14-1.	Noise and Vibration Codes, Goals, Objectives, and Policies.....	3.14-2
Table 3.14-2.	Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria	3.14-4
Table 3.14-3.	Levels of Impact.....	3.14-5
Table 3.14-4.	General Assessment Outdoor Construction Noise Criteria	3.14-6
Table 3.14-5.	Construction Standards by Jurisdiction	3.14-6
Table 3.14-6.	GBV and GBN Impact Criteria for General Assessment.....	3.14-8
Table 3.14-7.	GBV and GBN Impact Criteria for Special Buildings.....	3.14-9
Table 3.14-8.	Construction Vibration	3.14-9
Table 3.14-9.	Noise-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Station Resource Study Areas.....	3.14-14
Table 3.14-10.	Noise-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE Fairfax Alignment Station Resource Study Areas	3.14-15
Table 3.14-11.	Noise-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE La Brea Alignment Station Resource Study Areas	3.14-16
Table 3.14-12.	Vibration-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area.....	3.14-19
Table 3.14-13.	Vibration-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area.....	3.14-20
Table 3.14-14.	Vibration-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area.....	3.14-22
Table 3.14-15.	Construction Vibration	3.14-24
Table 3.14-16.	Construction Impacts at Noise-Sensitive Properties within KNE San Vicente– Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.14-26
Table 3.14-17.	Construction Impacts at Noise-Sensitive Properties within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.14-31
Table 3.14-18.	Construction Impacts at Noise-Sensitive Properties within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area	3.14-35
Table 3.14-19.	Construction Impacts at Noise-Sensitive Residential Properties within Hollywood Bowl Design Option Resource Study Area.....	3.14-38
Table 3.14-20.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Special Buildings	3.14-40
Table 3.14-21.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 2 Residential Land Uses.....	3.14-41
Table 3.14-22.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 3 Institutional Land Uses	3.14-43
Table 3.14-23.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Special Buildings	3.14-46

Table 3.14-24.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 2 Residential Land Uses	3.14-47
Table 3.14-25.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 3 Institutional Land Uses.....	3.14-49
Table 3.14-26.	KNE La Brea Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Special Buildings	3.14-52
Table 3.14-27.	KNE La Brea Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 2 Residential Land Uses	3.14-52
Table 3.14-28.	KNE La Brea Alignment Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 3 Institutional Land Uses.....	3.14-54
Table 3.14-29.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option Predicted Vibration Levels at FTA Category 2 Residential Land Uses	3.14-57
Table 3.14-30.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.14-64
Table 3.15-1.	Parklands and Recreational Facilities within KNE Resource Study Areas	3.15-3
Table 3.15-2.	Municipal Facilities within KNE Resource Study Areas	3.15-6
Table 3.15-3.	Police and Fire Department Service Area Details	3.15-8
Table 3.15-4.	Educational Facilities within KNE Resource Study Areas	3.15-12
Table 3.15-5.	Hospitals/Medical Centers and Children’s/Family Services within KNE Resource Study Areas	3.15-15
Table 3.15-6.	Senior Centers and Senior Services within KNE Resource Study Areas.....	3.15-17
Table 3.15-7.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.15-33
Table 3.16-1.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Baseline Transit Service and Facilities (2019)	3.16-9
Table 3.16-2.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Baseline Transit Service and Facilities (2019)	3.16-10
Table 3.16-3.	KNE La Brea Alignment Baseline Transit Service and Facilities (2019)	3.16-11
Table 3.16-4.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Roadway Facilities	3.16-14
Table 3.16-5.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Roadway Facilities.....	3.16-14
Table 3.16-6.	KNE La Brea Alignment Roadway Facilities.....	3.16-15
Table 3.16-7.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Bicycle Facilities	3.16-18
Table 3.16-8.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Bicycle Facilities	3.16-19
Table 3.16-9.	KNE La Brea Alignment Bicycle Facilities	3.16-19
Table 3.16-10.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Pedestrian Facilities	3.16-20
Table 3.16-11.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Pedestrian Facilities	3.16-21
Table 3.16-12.	KNE La Brea Alignment Pedestrian Facilities	3.16-23
Table 3.16-13.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Emergency Service Facilities	3.16-24
Table 3.16-14.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Emergency Service Facilities	3.16-24
Table 3.16-15.	KNE La Brea Alignment Emergency Service Facilities	3.16-25
Table 3.16-16.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment – Daily VMT Reduction.....	3.16-36
Table 3.16-17.	KNE Fairfax Alignment – Daily VMT Reduction.....	3.16-37

Table 3.16-18.	KNE La Brea Alignment – Daily VMT Reduction	3.16-37
Table 3.16-19.	Hollywood Bowl Design Option (with the Fairfax Alignment) – Daily VMT Reduction.....	3.16-38
Table 3.16-20.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.16-45
Table 3.17-1.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.17-24
Table 3.18-1.	MWD Regional Water Demand and Population.....	3.18-4
Table 3.18-2.	LADWP Water Service Area Demands and Population	3.18-5
Table 3.18-3.	City of Beverly Hills Water Service Area Demands and Population	3.18-6
Table 3.18-4.	City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Disposal Landfill Capacity	3.18-7
Table 3.18-5.	Los Angeles County Solid Waste Disposal Landfill Capacity	3.18-9
Table 3.18-6.	KNE Summary of Impact Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures	3.18-48
Table 3.19-1.	Projected Percent Growth for Los Angeles County, City of Los Angeles, and City of West Hollywood	3.19-2
Table 3.19-2.	SCAG Population, Housing, and Employment Projected Percent Growth for 0.5-Mile Buffer Areas.....	3.19-2
Table 3.19-3.	Summary of Cumulative Impacts.....	3.19-35
Table 5-1.	Summary of Alternatives Considered but Eliminated	5-3
Table 5-2.	Maintenance and Storage Facility Screening	5-8
Table 5-3.	No Project Alternative Planned Improvements (2045)	5-10
Table 5-4.	Comparison of Impact Significance Conclusions	5-31
Table 6-1.	2021 Virtual Scoping Meetings	6-2
Table 6-2.	2022 Public Outreach Meetings and Online Survey	6-3
Table 6-3.	2023 Public Outreach Meetings	6-4
Table 6-4.	Public Review Hearings.....	6-7

FIGURES

Figure ES-1.	K Line Northern Extension (KNE)	ES-2
Figure ES-2.	Timeline of Prior Studies and Reports	ES-3
Figure ES-3.	Project Timeline	ES-5
Figure ES-4.	KNE Construction Sections.....	ES-7
Figure ES-5.	Typical Underground Station Configuration	ES-8
Figure ES-6.	Typical Station Entrance	ES-8
Figure ES-7.	Example Station Layout (Crenshaw/Adams Station)	ES-9
Figure ES-8.	High Frequency Bus Alternative.....	ES-17
Figure 2-1.	K Line Northern Extension Project.....	2-1
Figure 2-2.	KNE Proposed Maintenance and Storage Facility Site Map	2-2
Figure 2-3.	Proposed Project: KNE Fairfax Alignment.....	2-3
Figure 2-4.	Neighborhoods in the Vicinity of the K Line Northern Extension.....	2-5
Figure 2-5.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment	2-7
Figure 2-6.	KNE Fairfax Alignment	2-9
Figure 2-7.	KNE La Brea Alignment	2-11
Figure 2-8.	Typical Station Cross-Section.....	2-12
Figure 2-9.	Typical Station Entrance	2-13
Figure 2-10.	Crenshaw/Adams Station (Entrance Option 1 – SW)	2-16
Figure 2-11.	Crenshaw/Adams Station (Entrance Option 2 – SE)	2-18
Figure 2-12.	Midtown Crossing Station.....	2-19
Figure 2-13.	Wilshire/Fairfax Station	2-21
Figure 2-14.	Fairfax/3 rd Station	2-22
Figure 2-15.	Fairfax/3 rd Station (with Optional Entrance 2).....	2-23
Figure 2-16.	La Cienega/Beverly Station	2-25
Figure 2-17.	San Vicente/Santa Monica Station – Entrance Option 1 – South	2-26
Figure 2-18.	San Vicente/Santa Monica Station – Entrance Option 2 – North	2-28
Figure 2-19.	Fairfax/Santa Monica Station – Entrance Option 1 – NE	2-29
Figure 2-20.	Fairfax/Santa Monica Station – Entrance Option 2 – SE.....	2-30
Figure 2-21.	La Brea/Santa Monica Station (San Vicente–Fairfax and Fairfax Alignments)	2-32
Figure 2-22.	La Brea/Santa Monica Station (La Brea Alignment)	2-33
Figure 2-23.	Hollywood/Highland Station – Terminus Station, Entrance Option 1 – SW	2-34
Figure 2-24.	Hollywood/Highland Station – Terminus Station, Entrance Option 2 – SE	2-36
Figure 2-25.	Wilshire/La Brea Station	2-38
Figure 2-26.	La Brea/Beverly Station – Entrance Option 1 – NW	2-40
Figure 2-27.	La Brea/Beverly Station – Entrance Option 2 – SE.....	2-41
Figure 2-28.	Alternate Terminus Station at the Hollywood Bowl.....	2-43

Figure 2-29.	Hollywood/Highland Station – Inline Station, Entrance Option 1	2-45
Figure 2-30.	Hollywood/Highland Station – Inline Station, Entrance Option 2	2-47
Figure 2-31.	Hollywood Bowl Station – Entrance Option 1	2-49
Figure 2-32.	Hollywood Bowl Station – Entrance Option 2	2-51
Figure 2-33.	KNE Proposed MSF Site Map	2-52
Figure 2-34.	KNE MSF Site Conceptual Layout.....	2-54
Figure 2-35.	Typical Tunnel Cross-Section	2-55
Figure 2-36.	Connection Box Excavation Footprint at Expo/Crenshaw Station	2-60
Figure 2-37.	Typical Cut-and-Cover Construction Sequence	2-61
Figure 2-38.	KNE Construction Sections	2-62
Figure 2-39.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax and Fairfax Alignments – Section 1	2-66
Figure 2-40.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment – Section 2.....	2-66
Figure 2-41.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment – Section 3.....	2-67
Figure 2-42.	KNE Fairfax Alignment – Section 2	2-68
Figure 2-43.	KNE La Brea Alignment – Section 1	2-69
Figure 2-44.	KNE La Brea Alignment – Section 2	2-69
Figure 3.2-1.	Photo Locations Map for Alignments	3.2-6
Figure 3.2-2.	Photo Location Map for MSF.....	3.2-7
Figure 3.2-3.	LU-1, Photo #1: Crenshaw/Adams Station Entrance Option 1, Southwest (Existing View, Facing South)	3.2-9
Figure 3.2-4.	LU-2, Photo #2: Midtown Crossing Station Entrance (Existing View, Facing Southeast).....	3.2-10
Figure 3.2-5.	LU-3, Photo #3: Wilshire/Fairfax Station Entrance, Northwest Entrance (Existing View, Facing Northwest)	3.2-12
Figure 3.2-6.	LU-4, Photo #4: Fairfax/3 rd Station, Entrance 1, South (Existing View, Facing Southeast).....	3.2-13
Figure 3.2-7.	LU-5, Photo #5: La Cienega/Beverly Station Entrance, at Northeast Corner (Existing View, Facing Northeast)	3.2-15
Figure 3.2-8.	LU-6, Photo #6: San Vicente/Santa Monica Station, Entrance Option 1, South (Existing View, Facing Southeast).....	3.2-17
Figure 3.2-9.	LU-7, Photo #7: Fairfax/Santa Monica Station, Entrance Option 1, Northeast (Existing View, Facing East).....	3.2-18
Figure 3.2-10.	LU-8, Photo #8: La Brea/Santa Monica Station Entrance, Northeast (Existing View, Facing Northeast).....	3.2-20
Figure 3.2-11.	LU-9, Photo #9: Hollywood/Highland Station, Entrance Option 1, Southwest (Existing View, Facing Southwest)	3.2-22
Figure 3.2-12.	LU-10, Photo #10: Wilshire/La Brea Station Entrance, 6 th Street (Existing View, Facing Southwest).....	3.2-23

Figure 3.2-13.	LU-11, Photo #11: La Brea/Beverly Station, Entrance Option 1, Northwest (Existing View, Facing South)	3.2-25
Figure 3.2-14.	LU-12, Photo #12: Hollywood Bowl Station, Entrance Option 1, West (Existing View, Facing Northwest)	3.2-26
Figure 3.2-15.	LU 13, Photo #13: MSF – Expansion of Division 16 Yard, between Arbor Vitae Street on the North and 96 th Street on the South (Existing View, Facing South)	3.2-27
Figure 3.3-1.	Regional Resource Study Area	3.3-17
Figure 3.4-1.	Resource Study Area for the Alignments and Design Option	3.4-4
Figure 3.4-2.	Resource Study Area of the MSF	3.4-5
Figure 3.4-3.	Chinese Elms and Tuckeroos on N La Brea Avenue, West Hollywood	3.4-8
Figure 3.4-4.	Northwest-Facing View of Vegetation along Cahuenga Boulevard, Los Angeles	3.4-9
Figure 3.4-5.	Mexican Fan Palms on N Highland Avenue, Hollywood	3.4-9
Figure 3.4-6.	No-Access Area with All Vegetation Cleared on Arbor Vitae Street, Los Angeles	3.4-10
Figure 3.5-1.	Existing Population within Station Resource Study Areas (2021).....	3.5-5
Figure 3.5-2.	Existing Households within Station Resource Study Areas (2021)	3.5-6
Figure 3.5-3.	Existing Employment within Station Resource Study Areas (2019).....	3.5-7
Figure 3.5-4.	Existing Population within MSF Resource Study Area (2021).....	3.5-24
Figure 3.5-5.	Existing Households within MSF Resource Study Area (2021)	3.5-25
Figure 3.5-6.	Existing Employment within MSF Resource Study Area (2019).....	3.5-26
Figure 3.6-1.	KNE Alignments, Stations, and Hollywood Bowl Design Option Resource Study Areas	3.6-4
Figure 3.6-2.	MSF Resource Study Area	3.6-5
Figure 3.6-3.	Surficial Deposits in Vicinity of KNE Alignments	3.6-7
Figure 3.6-4.	Surficial Deposits in Vicinity of MSF.....	3.6-8
Figure 3.6-5.	Archaeological Sensitivity in Vicinity of KNE Alignments and Stations.....	3.6-22
Figure 3.6-6.	Archaeological Sensitivity in Vicinity of MSF	3.6-23
Figure 3.7-1.	Energy Consumption by End Use (2018)	3.7-5
Figure 3.7-2.	In-State Electric Generation by Fuel Type (2011-2022).....	3.7-7
Figure 3.7-3.	California Energy Use By Sector (2021)	3.7-8
Figure 3.8-1.	Surficial Deposits in Project Vicinity	3.8-5
Figure 3.8-2.	Seismic Hazards in Project Vicinity	3.8-8
Figure 3.8-3.	Historic Seismicity in Project Vicinity.....	3.8-9
Figure 3.8-4.	Landslide Susceptibility in Project Vicinity.....	3.8-12
Figure 3.8-5.	Oil and Gas Wells in Resource Study Area.....	3.8-15
Figure 3.9-1.	Resource Study Area.....	3.9-9
Figure 3.10-1.	KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.10-4

Figure 3.10-2.	KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.10-5
Figure 3.10-3.	KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area	3.10-6
Figure 3.10-4.	Maintenance and Storage Facility Resource Study Area	3.10-7
Figure 3.11-1.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 1 of 8)	3.11-9
Figure 3.11-2.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 2 of 8)	3.11-10
Figure 3.11-3.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 3 of 8)	3.11-11
Figure 3.11-4.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 4 of 8)	3.11-12
Figure 3.11-5.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 5 of 8)	3.11-13
Figure 3.11-6.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 6 of 8)	3.11-14
Figure 3.11-7.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 7 of 8)	3.11-15
Figure 3.11-8.	REC Sites within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 8 of 8)	3.11-16
Figure 3.11-9.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 1 of 7)	3.11-19
Figure 3.11-10.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 2 of 7)	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-11.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 3 of 7)	3.11-21
Figure 3.11-12.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 4 of 7)	3.11-22
Figure 3.11-13.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 5 of 7)	3.11-23
Figure 3.11-14.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 6 of 7)	3.11-24
Figure 3.11-15.	REC Sites within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 7 of 7)	3.11-25
Figure 3.11-16.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 1 of 6)	3.11-28
Figure 3.11-17.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 2 of 6)	3.11-29
Figure 3.11-18.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 3 of 6)	3.11-30
Figure 3.11-19.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 4 of 6)	3.11-31
Figure 3.11-20.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 5 of 6)	3.11-32
Figure 3.11-21.	REC Sites within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area (Page 6 of 6)	3.11-33
Figure 3.11-22.	REC Sites within MSF Resource Study Area	3.11-35
Figure 3.11-23.	Oil and Gas Fields in Vicinity of KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment	3.11-41
Figure 3.11-24.	Oil and Gas Fields in Vicinity of KNE Fairfax Alignment	3.11-42
Figure 3.11-25.	Oil and Gas Fields in Vicinity of KNE La Brea Alignment	3.11-43
Figure 3.11-26.	Petroleum Pipelines within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-45
Figure 3.11-27.	Petroleum Pipelines within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-46

Figure 3.11-28. Petroleum Pipelines within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-47
Figure 3.11-29. Petroleum Pipelines within MSF Resource Study Area	3.11-48
Figure 3.11-30. Educational Facilities within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area.....	3.11-51
Figure 3.11-31. Educational Facilities within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-53
Figure 3.11-32. Educational Facilities within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Area	3.11-55
Figure 3.12-1. Hydrology and Surface Water Bodies	3.12-6
Figure 3.12-2. Regional Storm Drain Network	3.12-8
Figure 3.12-3. FEMA Flood Zones	3.12-10
Figure 3.12-4. Groundwater Basins	3.12-12
Figure 3.12-5. Open and Active Cleanup Sites	3.12-14
Figure 3.13-1. Resource Study Area and Major Destinations	3.13-3
Figure 3.13-2. Existing Land Uses within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Areas	3.13-4
Figure 3.13-3. Planned Land Uses within KNE San Vicente–Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Areas	3.13-5
Figure 3.13-4. Existing Land Uses within Crenshaw/Adams Station Resource Study Area	3.13-6
Figure 3.13-5. Existing Land Uses within Midtown Crossing Station Resource Study Area.....	3.13-7
Figure 3.13-6. Existing Land Uses within Wilshire/Fairfax Station and Fairfax/3 rd Station Resource Study Areas	3.13-8
Figure 3.13-7. Existing Land Uses within La Cienega/Beverly Station and San Vicente/Santa Monica Station Resource Study Areas	3.13-10
Figure 3.13-8. Existing Land Uses within Fairfax/Santa Monica Station Resource Study Area ..	3.13-11
Figure 3.13-9. Existing Land Uses within La Brea/Santa Monica Station Resource Study Area.....	3.13-13
Figure 3.13-10. Existing Land Uses within Hollywood/Highland Station Resource Study Area....	3.13-14
Figure 3.13-11. Existing Land Uses within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Areas.....	3.13-16
Figure 3.13-12. Planned Land Uses within KNE Fairfax Alignment Resource Study Areas.....	3.13-17
Figure 3.13-13. Existing Land Uses within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Areas.....	3.13-19
Figure 3.13-14. Planned Land Uses within KNE La Brea Alignment Resource Study Areas	3.13-20
Figure 3.13-15. Existing Land Uses within Wilshire/La Brea Station and La Brea/Beverly Station Resource Study Areas	3.13-22
Figure 3.13-16. Existing Land Uses within Hollywood Bowl Design Option Resource Study Area.....	3.13-23
Figure 3.13-17. Existing Land Uses within MSF Resource Study Area	3.13-25
Figure 3.14-1. Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects.....	3.14-4
Figure 3.14-2. Noise Measurement and Noise-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE Resource Study Area.....	3.14-13
Figure 3.14-3. Vibration-Sensitive Land Uses within KNE Resource Study Area	3.14-18

Figure 3.15-1.	Parklands and Recreational Facilities within KNE Resource Study Area	3.15-4
Figure 3.15-2.	Municipal Facilities within KNE Resource Study Areas.....	3.15-7
Figure 3.15-3.	Police Facilities within MSF Resource Study Area	3.15-10
Figure 3.15-4.	Fire Facilities within MSF Resource Study Area.....	3.15-11
Figure 3.15-5.	Educational Facilities within KNE Resource Study Areas.....	3.15-13
Figure 3.15-6.	Hospitals/Medical Centers and Children’s/Family Services within KNE Resource Study Areas	3.15-16
Figure 3.15-7.	Senior Centers and Senior Services near KNE	3.15-18
Figure 3.16-1.	Metro Rail and Bus Rapid Transit Network (2020)	3.16-6
Figure 3.16-2.	Metro Rail and Bus Rapid Transit Network (2023)	3.16-7
Figure 3.16-3.	Bus Network in Resource Study Area (2019).....	3.16-8
Figure 3.16-4.	Transit Service Near the MSF	3.16-12
Figure 3.16-5.	Freeway and Arterial Networks in KNE Resource Study Area	3.16-13
Figure 3.16-6.	Bicycle Facility Classifications	3.16-16
Figure 3.16-7.	Bicycle Facilities Relevant to KNE Station Resource Study Areas.....	3.16-17
Figure 3.17-1.	Resource Study Area.....	3.17-4
Figure 3.17-2.	Surficial Deposits in the KNE Vicinity.....	3.17-5
Figure 3.17-3.	Surficial Deposits in Vicinity of MSF	3.17-6
Figure 3.17-4.	Ethnographic Tribal Boundaries	3.17-8
Figure 3.17-5.	Kirkman–Harriman Pictorial and Historical Map of Los Angeles County	3.17-11
Figure 4-1.	Fire Hazard Severity Zones and Responsibility Areas in Vicinity of Project Alignments.....	4-3
Figure 4-2.	Fire Hazard Severity Zones and Responsibility Areas in Vicinity of MSF	4-4
Figure 5-1.	Feasibility/Alternatives Analysis Study Alternatives (2018)	5-5
Figure 5-2.	Advanced Alternatives Analysis Study Alternatives (2020)	5-5
Figure 5-3.	Alternatives as Presented at Scoping (2021)	5-6
Figure 5-4.	Potential MSF Sites Considered (2021)	5-7
Figure 5-5.	KNE Fairfax Alignment (Proposed Project)	5-9
Figure 5-6.	No Project Alternative Planned Metro Transit Map (2045)	5-11
Figure 5-7.	High Frequency Bus Alternative	5-13

APPENDICES

- 1-A Scoping Summary Report
- 2-A Alternatives Considered but Withdrawn from Further Evaluation
- 2-B Advanced Conceptual Engineering Drawings
- 2-C Construction Approach Report
- 3.1-A Project Measures
- 3.2-A KNE Aesthetics Technical Report
- 3.3-A KNE Air Quality Technical Report
- 3.4-A KNE Biological Resources Technical Report
- 3.5-A KNE Communities, Population, and Housing Technical Report
- 3.6-A KNE Cultural and Paleontological Resources Technical Report
- 3.7-A KNE Energy Technical Report
- 3.8-A KNE Geology and Soils Technical Report
- 3.9-A KNE Greenhouse Gas Emissions Technical Report
- 3.10-A KNE Growth Inducing Impacts Technical Report
- 3.11-A KNE Hazards and Hazardous Materials Technical Report
- 3.12-A KNE Hydrology and Water Quality Technical Report
- 3.13-A KNE Land Use and Planning Technical Report
- 3.14-A KNE Noise and Vibration Technical Report
- 3.15-A KNE Public Services and Recreation Technical Report
- 3.16-A KNE Transportation Technical Report
- 3.17-A KNE Tribal Cultural Resources Technical Report
- 3.18-A KNE Utilities and Service Systems Technical Report