



Long Beach-East Los Angeles Corridor Mobility Investment Plan

CLC Meetings #11 and 11.5 Summary

January 26, 2023



Attendees

Project Team

Michael Cano, Countywide Planning and Development Executive Officer, Metro
 KeAndra Cylear-Dodds, Equity and Race Executive Officer, Metro
 Lilian De Loza Guitierrez, Community Relations Director, Metro
 Erika Morales, Partner, Morales + Morales, Inc
 Amber Hawkes, Co-Director, Here LA
 Shannon Davis, Co-Director, Here LA
 Serena Powell, Fellow, Here LA
 Melissa Holguin, Senior Project Manager, Arellano Associates
 Susan DeSantis, Senior Project Manager, Arellano Associates
 Laura Herrera, Deputy Project Manager, Arellano Associates
 Samantha Sosa, Project Coordinator, Arellano Associates
 Adrian Farran, Project Coordinator, Arellano Associates
 Trey Grogan, Project Coordinator, Arellano Associates
 Robert Cáliz, Cal Strategic Management
 Julie Rush, Senior Manager Transportation Planning, AECOM
 Alice Tyree, Caltrans District 7

CLC Members

	Name	Jurisdiction	In Attendance 1/19 (#11)	In Attendance 1/23 (#11.5)
1	Luis Mesa	Bell	Y	Y
2	Natalie Rubio	Bell Gardens	Y	Y
3	Emmanuel Godinez	Boyle Heights		Y
4	Fa'alagilagi (Langi) Meni-Siliga	Carson	Y	Y
5	Alfonso Garate	Commerce	Y	Y
6	Phyllis Ollison	Compton	Y	Y
7	Martha Fierro	Cudahy		
8	Marjorie Wall	Downey		
9	Miyuki Gomez	East Los Angeles (unincorporated)	Y	Y
10	Guadalupe Arellano	East Los Angeles (unincorporated)		
11	Kathleen Barajas	East Los Angeles (unincorporated)	Y	Y
12	Sinetta Farley	East/Rancho Dominguez (unincorporated)	Y	Y
13	Jose Rodolfo Vallejo	Huntington Park		
14	Dan Wamba	Lakewood	Y	Y
15	Marcos Lopez	Long Beach	Y	Y
16	Maria Reyes	Long Beach	Y	Y
17	Marlene Sanchez	Long Beach	Y	Y
18	Elizabeth Zamarripa	Lynwood	Y	
19	Aide Castro	Lynwood	Y	Y
20	Jamila Cervantes (they/them)	Maywood	Y	

21	Andres Duarte	Montebello		
22	Amber Bobadilla	Paramount		
23	Kevin Shin	Signal Hill		
24	Esmeralda Hernandez	South Gate	Y	Y
25	Viridiana (Viri) Preciado Cervantes	Walnut Park (unincorporated)	Y	Y
26	Tiesha Davis	Wilmington/San Pedro (San Pedro)	Y	Y
27	Manuel Arellano	Wilmington/San Pedro (Wilmington)	Y	Y
28	Dora Douglas	Vernon	Y	
29	Susan Adams	At Large/Compton	Y	Y

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) and Caltrans District 7 initiated the I-710 South Corridor Task Force (710 Task Force) to develop a community-supported, regionally significant, multimodal approach to addressing major mobility, safety, air quality, and equity needs for moving people and goods through the I-710 South Corridor between the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach and State Route 60. The Community Leadership Committee for the project will inform the Task Force, coordinate with other decision-making bodies, and help move the project forward.

Community Leadership Committee Meetings #11 & #11.5 for the *Long Beach – East LA Corridor Mobility Investment Plan* were held on Thursday, January 19, 2023 and Monday January 23rd, 2023. The intent of these meetings were to: (1) Provide the Task Force Meeting recap (2) Welcome the new CLC Member At-Large member and (3) Compile recommendations related to the Initial List of Projects and Programs project list to send to the Task Force.

Meeting Summary – Meeting #11

➤ **Introductions, Welcome, Agenda Review, Meeting Objectives**

- Co-facilitator, Erika Morales welcomed attendees and provided an overview of the agenda, along with an introduction to the project team, and conducted a CLC roll call. There were 21 CLC members in attendance.

➤ **Agenda Item #1: Task Force Meeting Recap**

- Co-facilitator Erika Morales discussed the items shared with the Task Force during the 1/9/2023 meeting. The Task Force:
 - Confirmed Susan Adams, City of Compton, as CLC Member-At-Large.
 - Discussed Multimodal Strategies/the Initial Set of Projects & Programs with a focus on what’s missing
 - Identified project process questions for further discussion
- Public Comments
 - The first public speaker said that she’s disappointed that a lot of people are missing (specifically East LA members) and wants to know what the outreach is to keep CLC Members engaged.

- The second speaker asked what the timeframe is for construction for Project LB-ELA_0160 (Line A Transit Priority/Signal Synchronization) due to prior misinformation about construction along the Blue Line.
 - *Erika Morales responded that we will be jumping into the list shortly and if we can't provide an answer tonight will send one via email if the speaker can please email the project team at LHerrera@arellanoassociates.com*
- The third speaker described that she would like to include a project to implement solar powered lighting for bus stops on the Project List. In addition, communities need water retention infrastructure to capture rainwater and preserve green spaces. Lastly, communities need educational resources to help with employment.
- The final public speaker asked the project team to respond about what attendance outreach looks like for CLC Members.
 - Co-facilitator Shannon Davis, Here LA, responded by describing the emails that are sent to each CLC Members, along with outreach by Laura Herrera, Arellano Associates, as well via emails, text, phone calls, and snail mail. In addition, CLC members are invited to attend office hours to discuss lingering questions.
 - KeAndra Cylear-Dodds, from LA Metro, mentioned the multiple channels CLC Members are contacted through (e.g. texts and phone calls) and the videos that have been included in email recaps. In the past, after CLC members missed three meetings, they were contacted and then replaced. We will continue to be vigilant about attendance.
- A CLC Member requested that members receive either English or Spanish materials in the snail mail, to reduce waste.
 - KeAndra Cylear-Dodds, from LA Metro, mentioned that they sent both copies for bilingual CLC Members, but can explore this in the future.

➤ **Agenda Item #2: Project List**

- Co-facilitator, Shannon Davis, introduced the approach for this section of the meeting and next steps:
 - Go through the Initial List of Projects and Programs together
 - Add to or recommend changes to the List
 - Accomplish this within approximately 70 minutes
 - The next step is to send CLC recommendations to the Task Force
- Shannon Davis reviewed slides that were presented at the last CLC meeting, regarding the process and next steps. Once finalized, the Project List will be taken through the Evaluation Criteria. In the next few months, the CLC and Task Force will be discussing Evaluation Criteria. She reminded the team about the multiple sources that were used to build the Project List.
- Co-facilitator, Amber Hawkes, reminded the CLC about the organization of the Initial List (six “improvement categories”) and reiterated that projects highlighted in blue are larger, umbrella projects that include multiple jurisdictions or are study-area wide. Amber also reminded the CLC that projects on the list are in all stages of progress and information available about each project may be limited.

- Shannon Davis restated the main discussion question for today's meeting: *what additional projects and programs do you want to add to the Project List?* Shannon also encouraged CLC members to follow along with the hard copy list that was mailed to CLC members.
- CLC comments and questions are included below.

○ **General CLC Questions and Comments**

- How will questions and comments from CLC members who attended the office hours be reflected in this process?
 - *Shannon Davis answered that all comments and questions received will be included in the summary that goes to the Task Force and the CLC meeting summary.*
- Are the projects from the mapping exercise incorporated into the Project List?
 - *Shannon Davis answered that those projects were filtered into and helped to inform the current Project List.*
- How will these projects be prioritized and implemented?
 - *Amber Hawkes answered that the prioritization process will be defined in the next phase of implementation.*
- Can we see the map of the study area?
 - *The map was shown by the technical team.*
- Projects to improve security and traffic should be included. As an example, there is a dangerous intersection with no sidewalks, areas that are flooded, and areas lacking pedestrian and bicyclist signage/signals.
- In one area, $\frac{3}{4}$ of a block is flooded and there are many pot holes in the community.
- Concern about the Hill Street project (0094). There should be a bridge in order to create a bicycle connection. The corridor should not be expanded.
- Will safety measures (crossings) for pedestrians and cyclist be implemented for Project 0091-93? Additionally, will any of the projects cause displacement?
- New crossings and bridges should include bike and pedestrian space.
 - *Amber Hawkes stated that space for safe crossings on any new bridges such as Project 0091-93 would be included in the project, according to the technical team.*
- Is it too late to send Laura Herrera feedback and questions?
 - *Laura Herrera confirmed that CLC Members are still able to send her feedback via email.*
- Green and open space should be included to provide safe spaces for pedestrians, sports, and bicyclists.
- What is Attachment A?
 - *Attachment A describes the Bicycle Projects in more detail.*

○ **Active Transportation: Bike Projects and Programs**

- Will Project 006 include the railroad tracks or is it on-street?
 - *For some projects, such as this one, we do not have additional technical details for the project yet since it is in the beginning phases or the information sent in by the sources, is limited.*

- Recommendation for pedestrian rest areas (e.g. including street furniture, exercise equipment) or infrastructure along bike routes.
- Recommendation for bike stations (including water fountains, bike share, rest areas, and bike parking) along bike routes.
- Recommendation for locally-discounted rates or something similar to increase access, since bike share can be pricey.
 - *See Project LB-ELA 0200.*
- For Project 0055, there is already a biking/walking path in that area.
 - *This project would close the gap between Maywood and Long Beach.*

○ Active Transportation: Pedestrian and First/Last Mile Improvements

- What are the safety measures for the LA River Gap Closures included on the list, for example would the area be fenced off for safety? Or would these features vary from project to project?
- Ensure that all new alternative transit options offer wheelchair accessible vehicles and that all transit stops are on sidewalks and are ADA compliant (e.g. a few in Commerce are on the grass).
- I appreciate your taking the time to check in with us. I am waiting to see the digital additions from the office hours.
- For the Hill Street Project (0094) is there a design for that?
 - *Not yet as the project is in its beginning phases.*
- What city is the Fairview Heights station located in (0005)?
 - *Inglewood.*
 - *KeAndra, said a good chunk of this Project goes through the corridor but also connects to other communities. Although the Project travels outside the corridor the Project will improve connections within the corridor itself.*
- Where are these Rail to Rail projects located?
 - *Goes along Slauson mainly. Segments A and B connect.*
- I like Active Transportation Projects: 0017, 0200, and 0201.

○ Active Transportation: Safety and Amenities

- Regarding Project 0207, does the City of Carson have anything specific planned already?
 - *Shannon read the short project description then explained how it's a larger citywide project. Information that the technical team has is limited to the description included on the Project List.*
- In Project 0212, change mejoras to mejorar.
- Will there be any bike lanes included in Project 0212? I've seen a Master Plan that included a bike lane.
 - *No additional technical information available for this Project.*
- Are HAWK signals and RRFBs in conflict with each other?
 - *You would have one or the other at a particular location but not both, but along a corridor you could have both types of improvements.*
- Add more street lights as it gets dark quickly. Three CLC Members agreed with this recommendation.

- Transit stops are filthy and are covered in trash. Are there projects to promote the use of trash cans (to stop littering) and to increase the number of trash cans?
 - *See Projects 0203, 0188, 0189, 0201.*
- Trash produced by homelessness should be addressed. Are there solutions for that specific issue?
- We should consider the demonization of homeless people.
- How will these projects be maintained?
 - *Partner cities will be responsible for maintenance, since they will be the ones implementing the projects identified in the Plan. Funding streams will be determined later.*
- Add better shelters near bus stops when it rains since the bus shelters are too tall. It is hard for people to get shade or stay covered in the rainy season.
- For safety, bus stops should include more surveillance or a button that alerts the police who are nearby when someone feels threatened.
- Can components of Project 0214 be added to 0165, such as improved lighting, improved walkways, and landscaping?

○ **Active Transportation: Travel Demand Management**

- Is there a way to coordinate with larger companies to incentivize them to provide employees with transportation access (e.g. bus passes)?
 - *Metro already works with large employers around LA County in this fashion.*
- Comment to add toll lanes to more freeways, like the 110 Express Lane.
- We need to consider, however, how toll lanes would affect low-income households/individuals. They will negatively impact low-income individuals, especially with the price of gas.
- Low emission vans should be used for vanpools.
- Regarding toll lanes in general, don't they usually require freeway expansion? Isn't that what we are trying to avoid? Or does it not always mean expansion? Also, where does the money go for tolls? To a state agency like the DOT or is it reinvested into the local community?

○ **Goods and Movements: Truck Programs/ITS.**

- Project 0184 is expensive for Long Beach. Removing empty containers is a big project. Outside of Ports, containers next to railroads need to be addressed. This is a big problem.
- In the Project List, there should be incentives for small truck drivers or business owners to switch to zero emissions vehicles (e.g. for Projects 0004 and 0023). This is important for local workers.
- Regarding the disposal of empty containers (Project 0184), where are we moving them and what is the disposal/repurpose process? As an example, another community has created a container community for homeless people. We are trying to create a greener, safer community, so we need to think about how empty container management supports these goals.
- Regarding Project 0023 will this happen on the Federal, State, or local level?

- *No additional technical information is available at this time on this Project.*
- Regarding Project 0151, how will the Port transport items through a rail source that uses clean energy? Can you explain this service, how is it efficient, what will its outcome be, and how will this project will happen? Several other CLC members expressed concern about this project. The project should reduce pollution and should not have impact on local communities. There should be more information and description about this Project.
- Since the goal is to reduce pollution, we should consider reducing the number of days trucks are allowed to drive.
 - *See Project 0004, Clean Truck Project.*
- Diesel trucks are a major cause of pollution and a huge problem for the corridor.
 - Several other CLC Members expressed concern about this project.
- We need to reference the mission statement and goals from the project.
- What are the boundaries of these projects? Projects are focused on areas that are more affluent rather than areas that do not have the most political clout or are lower income.
- Why are we including projects in Signal Hill and Lakewood since they are not close to the corridor? I would like communities that are closer to receive more improvements.
- Why are the more affluent communities being prioritized in this project? The communities immediately adjacent should be receiving the benefits first and foremost. This process should take this seriously and not divert dollars to affluent communities
 - *KeAndra mentioned she appreciates the feedback and the history of how resources have been inequitably distributed. There is only one project in Lakewood, though we can continue to talk about where projects are distributed.*
 - *Amber Hawkes asked the CLC members to provide information about which projects they feel are just for affluent communities. She also reminded the CLC that the next phase is prioritization. During this next phase, evaluation criteria will be created that can respond to this question and can impact which communities and projects are prioritized over others.*
- I am not in favor of reducing the number of days for trucks to travel on the freeways. Let's not forget people need to work and eat. The unintended consequence of limiting the number of days would result in delay of goods to our grocery stores and will greatly affect small business owners.
- We should be aware of the negative impacts that these projects can create.
- Are the relevant cities along the corridor aware of the Projects on this list? In one city there is a project proposed where there is a development project planned. The CLC member can send more information about this project. Why are we looking at communities that are not directly adjacent to the corridor?
 - *KeAndra clarified that the radius of the area is approximately 2-3 miles around the I-710, to encompass where traffic goes when exiting the I-710 highway. Metro has been working closely with cities and staff to provide and review recommendations and we have reviewed city plans.*

- Public Comment
 - The first speaker asked about Project 0043. Below this area, there is a bridge across the I-5. The bridge crossing that has stairs currently, should be made more accessible. It would help re-connect communities. Regarding the LA River Path, would Metro be looking to fund this through I-710 money or are they adding new improvements into the project? Governments and private employers should incentive and encourage workers to telecommute. Governments should also digitize records to reduce the amount of travel people have to do to go to get records.
 - The second speaker discussed Inland Port Project 0131 in regards to a CLC topic discussed earlier. The project is proposed as a public private partnership and the goal of the project is to get trucks off of the road locally and move cargo onto trains out of California and then back onto trucks.
 - A Q&A chat comment asked about how projects will address persistent homelessness, which causes violence and threatens schools, first responders, etc.

➤ **Closing Remarks**

- Meeting facilitators then described the next CLC meeting in January on 1/23/23 from 5-7pm.
- In the next meetings, the CLC will continue to review the Project list.
- The Task Force will meet 2/13/23 from 5-7pm.
- The Equity Working Group will meet 3/2/23 from 5-7pm.
- The Zero Emission Truck Working Group will meet on 2/21/23 1-3pm.

➤ **Meeting 11 Q&A**

Comment/Question
Hi in the email sent to the public. The drop box items - presentation and materials show deleted. I wasn't able to see them.
I was not able to see Attachment A either. I could only see the list.
Attachment A did not appear to be in the general public email sent today. Just the project list was. Maybe the CLC group did not receive either?
When will the public be able to give input?
LA River Path - Metro has been studying this for a while. Are they looking to fund it with 710 money or is this to do enhancements that weren't covered by that?
How would you address the persistent presence of homeless in many of those streets? Many turn violent and are an ongoing threat to school kids, vendors and even first responders.
Caltrans has the Clean California campaign.
State spends millions a year on litter.
Bike 43 - Arizona Avenue Whittier to SR 60 - There is a bridge across the I-5 freeway to Commerce. If you are going to Whittier it seems to make sense to continue south to the I-5 and make the bridge crossing which has stairs currently more accessible to both bicycles and wheel

chairs. It would connect ELA and Commerce a goal of the project and the US Dept of Transportation.

Humphreys Bridge - we have a group on Humphreys/Sydney/Eastern, Eagle that supports this bridge.

Telecommuting Program - The public benefits when there are fewer cars on the road. Governments should encourage their workers to telecommute, additionally perhaps we need to incentivize private employers to encourage them to continue to allow their workers to telecommute. Additionally, governments need to digitize more of their records so that people don't have to go into government offices. They also should make it easier to obtain records quickly to avoid trips. Birth records, death records, marriage records, divorce decrees, property records are things that the public needs. They should be available for people to receive them quickly within a couple of days, otherwise people are going to go in to get the records.

Additionally, courts should continue to offering Zoom hearings as much as possible.

Why is a Montebello CLC member included when it is not in the corridor?

This is the 1st time I received an email about the meetings, how can I get added to receive in the future?

Meeting Summary – Meeting #11.5

➤ **Introductions, Welcome, Agenda Review, Meeting Objectives**

- Co-facilitator, Erika Morales provided a welcome, overview of the agenda, introduction to the project team, and she conducted a CLC roll call. There were 19 CLC members in attendance.

➤ **Agenda Item #1: Project List (Continued from Meeting #11)**

- Co-facilitator Shannon Davis, Here LA displayed the Project List Miro Board and explained the layout of each table. She then introduced the Goods Movement section of projects starting with the Freight/Rail subcategory and briefly described the 5 listed projects.
- Comments and discussion from CLC members are reflected below.

○ **Goods Movement: Freight Rail / Goods Movement TDM**

- We should study and consider adding 'microhubs' for transporting goods. Microhubs are smaller delivery centers that use bikes or smaller electric vehicles (eg. golf carts) to deliver items.
- Microhubs are a great idea. I like the idea of using bikes and golf carts for deliveries.
- Who will fund the Barstow Project (0151) and ensure that it is eco-friendly? The maintenance of the Project could decline without secured/dedicated funding, which would then negatively affect the eco-friendliness of the project over time.
 - *Michael Cano, Executive Officer of Metro, answered that BNSF would build the facility, but that he is unsure of the timeline and financing for the Project. He also noted that BNSF is part of the Task Force and could be asked for more information.*

○ **Goods Movement: Ports**

- A standard should be set to include emission reduction requirements for each project instead of having only one single related project (0132).
- Cost and implementability should be considered during the evaluation stage.
- It is important to ensure that local hire is indeed local (with a specific radius mentioned), instead of allowing the hiring of people who briefly move to the community to benefit from local hire jobs.
- A minimum amount of time should be set that a resident must live in the area to qualify for local hire. (3x)
 - *See Project 0195 for targeted local hire.*
- Regarding Project 0021 (Alameda Corridor Terminus Enhancements), how much is the Alameda Corridor currently being utilized? Overall, the suggestion is to ensure efficiency and stability, while also ensuring that freight does not impact vehicular traffic.
 - *See Project 0131.*
- Can you please clarify Project 0131 (Port of LA NMFM Improvement Program)?

- *Michael Cano responded that the Project is for container terminals and not the passenger ferries, in an effort to move cargo quickly through the Port and doesn't include anything outside the port facility.*
- If we are adding capacity to the railways, can we encourage electrification?
- Railroad expansion projects are intended to alleviate truck traffic on the freeways.

○ Arterial Roadways: Complete Streets

- Safety for people parking and walking on the 6th Street bridge is important; currently it does not have lighting. There is also no signage that indicates that you cannot park and there is no parking nearby. This makes it unsafe for bikers because people just pull over and stop to park.
- Gerald Desmond Bridge: it is important to consider what bike facility will connect to this route.
- Make sure to have complete bicycle connections, without gaps.
- What is the extent on Atlantic of Project 0057?
 - *19 miles, through much of the Study Area.*
- What is the extent on Alondra of Project 0060?
 - *6-7 miles through Compton and Paramount.*
- Will cross streets be improved during construction of Complete Streets improvements?
 - *Typically, only intersections would be improved as improvements are focused along the main corridors.*

○ Arterial Roadway: Signal Coordination

- What do street signals do in an emergency? How do they sync together and how do they notify people of an emergency? What happens if you fix one signal in an area and not others? Could signals make a sound to signal drivers using solar power?
 - *New signals would be installed in a network approach, which would cover a certain range of the corridor or area. There are existing emergency notification systems such as AMBER alerts, message signs, and phones that give residents personal warnings.*
- It is important to warn people in other ways besides via their phones.
- CLC Members visited the area of Project 0071 (Telegraph/Eastern/Atlantic) and recommended improved traffic signals and restriping to direct traffic, ensuring that the space is pedestrian friendly and safe for all modes of travel. Merge striping needs to begin further back at this intersection to indicate to drivers where the appropriate turning lanes are and how to merge sooner/safely.
- Pedestrian signals should work correctly and pedestrians should be given enough time to cross the street.
- How are traffic light designs determined? Are they all supposed to be uniform or are they city specific?
 - *There are standards for street light design but cities are involved and have their own preferences.*

○ Arterial Roadway: Traffic Calming

- Trees (and maintenance of trees) should be added as a recommendation, specifically relating to traffic calming (3x).
- Bike safety education for all travel modes should be emphasized.
- Add notification leading to construction sites.
- Include painted/colored bike lanes.
- There is a lack of roundabouts and a need for them on the westside of Long Beach, compared to other parts of the study area. Roundabouts are important for traffic calming. Maintenance of landscaping within roundabouts is also important.
- Bike bollards should be placed between cars and bikes for safety. (2x)
- What is the methodology for determining placement of bike lanes? Some lanes seem underutilized and cause increased traffic due to lane reduction.
- Use orange or light brown colored bike lanes to alert drivers.
- Add visible bike route signs.
- Create a safer environment for bicyclist to cross traffic or merge.
- Bike lane maintenance is important to deal with debris. (2x)
- Add street lighting along roads, especially near intersections.
- Select calming colors for roundabouts and traffic calming (avoiding reds).

○ Arterial Roadway: General Land/Regional Roadway

- Route 1 is also referred to as PCH.
- Ensure communities such as San Pedro receive stormwater treatment and storm drain maintenance.
- Find a better solution to storm drains.
- Do all river projects (especially 0042 & 0044) add water or remove it?
 - *The projects referenced relate to bridge improvements over the river and are not related to the water itself.*
- Make sure bridges are repainted while they are under construction (e.g., repainting the Vincent Thomas Bridge green, which is currently fading).
- Paint bike lanes and roundabouts a special color for visibility.
- CLC Members visited the location for Project 0078 (Randolph Street Gap Closure) and asked for clarification regarding whether the bridge will be a pedestrian or vehicular bridge. They also asked how this Project would interface with the Metro station, and how debris and homelessness would be handled.
 - *The arterial bridge project spans the full street and would accommodate all road users (pedestrians, bicyclist, and cars). The project would need to be coordinated with the Metro station.*
- Are bike lanes for pedestrian use as well?
 - *No, striped or buffered bike lanes on the street are for rolling modes of travel and sidewalks are for pedestrians.*
- For Project 0012, will the lanes be widened, and if so, why? Also, if so, where does the room for additional lanes come from (what space would be reduced to make room) and how wide is a standard lane?
 - *The Project calls for the narrowing of existing medians. Standard lanes range from 10-14 feet.*

- For Project 0012, CLC Members would like to ensure the Project does not negatively impact pedestrian and bike space.
- What is included in Project 0098?
 - *This Project would repaint faded lines and add yellow lines (for school zones) to improve safety and visibility.*

○ **Transit: High Capacity Transit (Rail & BRT)**

- Can transit lanes be used as bike lanes as well?
 - *The first two Projects (0001 & 0002) are Light Rail transit projects and have their own lanes that cannot be mixed. For the Atlantic bus only lane the idea is that the bus will have its own lane to move as quickly as possible.*
 - Where would the bike lane be placed in relation to vehicular lanes, bus lanes, and the sidewalk?
 - *This project has not yet been designed.*

○ **Final Comments and Questions About the Project List**

- Shannon Davis opened the CLC discussion up to the rest of the Project List in the interest of time and Amber reminded the CLC about the remaining subcategories.
- CLC questions and comments are described below.
- How would the C Line be extended?
 - *The extension would connect to the Norwalk Metro Station and Norwalk Metrolink Station.*
- Has the Homelessness Advisory Committee already been set and how will the Committee work with the community to ensure issues are addressed?
 - *Yes, the Committee is already in place. See Project 0194 (Homeless Programs), which addresses homelessness in the study area.*
- Thumbs up on Project 0141 (Metro Bus Priority Lane Corridor along Line 60 – Long Beach Boulevard).
- Does project 0002 already exist?
 - *No, it's still in the very early stages of the process.*
- Add a city-wide education program that teaches people about the city itself, including a handbook of city rules and regulations and ways the city keeps the public informed.
- Add a Project for job retention to help keep people employed.
- Is there funding for art projects through construction in Project 0190?
 - *Yes, construction funds would be earmarked for public arts as part of this Project.*
- Ensure that the addition of auxiliary lanes on the freeway will not displace people/business.
- Include mention of additional trash cans in the Project List.
- CLC Member asked for elaboration on Project 0194.
 - *Link posted to the Homeless Task Force <https://www.metro.net/riding/homeless-task-force/>*
 - *The project would follow the Task Force's lead and other projects listed in the description.*

- Public trash cans located at bus stops in downtown Long Beach were being set on fire.
- Public art should be local and neutral art to avoid being vandalized.
- Public Comment
 - Curious when and if the questions in the Q&A will be considered by people. Community benefits programs are important because freeways have had large impacts on community. Additionally, there's a need to green schools since they have a lot of asphalt.
 - Reiterated the previous comment and asked for the public comment to take place after a few subcategories in future meetings. Also, expressed how important the traffic calming projects are and would like to see them more fleshed out.
 - More comments will be emailed to the project team.

➤ **Closing Remarks**

- The Task Force will meet 2/13/23 from 5-7pm.
- Meeting facilitators then described the upcoming CLC meeting in February, to be held on 2/23/23 from 5 to 7pm.
- The Equity Working Group will meet 3/2/23 from 5-7pm and the Zero Emission Truck Working Group will meet on 2/21/23 1-3pm.

➤ **Meeting 11.5 Q&A**

Comment/Question Summary
We can't see other people's chat and Q&A comments.
So, the public doesn't see a complete list of comments.
Re 0057 Atlantic Complete Streets, will this remove traffic lanes or parking? Many areas are facing increasing parking problems due to state policies removing parking in poorer communities where more buses and transit exist. ELA parking study had areas where parking was at 120 percent which means people are illegally parking because there is not existing parking. Lack of parking means people are circling causing more pollution.
Yes, that area is very scary, so many lanes and often you are in the wrong one.
Could you clarify why some traffic signal upgrades/ improvements are divided into phases?
How about adding elongated bumps? Roundabouts may work only in wide intersections.
0202 Trees along side of streets are good, but I think trees in the actual roundabout would cause problems for cars seeing oncoming traffic.
0202 I am opposed to speed enforcement cameras. Difficult for people to contest when they don't know when the picture was taken. Also, more difficult for non-English speakers to contest.
Would have to hear what other people think about congestion pricing through communities. With no charges to people who live within the community. Money would go to community's being passed through.
#00205 I don't like street widenings as a general rule, because they remove street trees
0205 Why are the streets being widened? Should only be done if streets are dangerously narrow, we don't want to turn our streets into freeways.
So, the sidewalks will not be minimized in any way.
Will bike lanes be added because its widened.

0019 Residents and Business owners in East Los Angeles were opposed to BRT on Atlantic Blvd. when it was presented in 2016 along with the SR710 project
2015 not 2016 typo
0019 Residents nearby and business owners on Atlantic Blvd.in East Los Angeles were opposed to BRT on Atlantic Blvd when it was presented in the SR 710 project in 2015. They were concerned their businesses would be hurt. Residents had parking concerns
Comment on Project LB-ELA_0036 - I-710 / I-405 Connector Project Improvements Modify SB I-710 Collector Distributor Road/Eliminate SB I-710 to EB Wardlow Boulevard exit at Wardlow Road. Modify NB I-710 to SB I-405 Connector/Eliminate WB Wardlow Boulevard on ramp to NB I-710/I-405 Connectors. The comment is that this project is taken out of context from the larger (and now rejected) overall I-710 improvement project. However, on their own, these projects do not make any sense and would worsen traffic and reduce access considerably for the local community. The local community served by these ramps would surely not agree these are good ideas. Finally, to recommend these project ideas would require significant studies to determine their impacts versus possible benefits. Strongly suggest that these projects should not be included, or if included, should be clearly marked to be draft only pending much more study and analysis. These types of projects would only be feasible in context of redesign/reconstruction of the entire interchange.
Residents in East Los Angeles would like to see the bus lines that were removed after the Gold Line was completed reintroduced.
I will send it to your email, but I cannot see the chat. What email should I use? I am unclear when "public comment" is? I am on this representing the Los Cerritos Neighborhood Association, which received notice to attend this meeting. Thanks!
I see that Dave L. was on the meeting at the beginning. I hope he and his technical team can see this comment as I am certain they will understand it. Thanks again!
Can you put that link in the Q and A so the public can see it please
Will do. We have a board meeting Wednesday and will discuss other comments we may have. I am certain it will be an ongoing discussion.
That wasn't the question. The question was if the comments were going to be considered by anyone.
We aren't able to put anything in the chat. So, the only way we can comment is in the chat.
The only way we can comment is in the Q & A

➤ **CLC Comments Emailed to the Project Team**

- CLC Member wanted to emphasize the projects that are most important to the disability community are those which add/improve ADA Compliance, improve access, safety, and amenities at train stations and bus stops, and of course any projects that will improve traffic, especially for transit such as designated bus lanes.

- When replacing infrastructure, reuse old materials. (e.g. fencing):



- Parking lots need to be repainted.
- Fill in any potholes.
- Create a zero-emissions truck stop and a parking structure for trucks.
- How to incorporate more local artwork:



-

➤ CLC Office Hours - Feedback and Questions

- Should I prioritize projects in my own community or the entire corridor? How should I be reviewing the Project List.
 - *Please review projects in areas that you are familiar with or that relate to topics of interest to you.*
- How will the community be informed of these projects and construction?
 - *Over time as the projects are prioritized and then next steps are set, each project would dictate how noticing occurs. We are at a very preliminary stage.*
- Widening sidewalks and repositioning power boxes that sit on sidewalks are important. These things negatively impact access.
- Safety and security are the most important things and should be prioritized in the Project List.
- Worries about the possibility of projects being only partially completed or lacking sufficient maintenance after installation.

- Concerned with safety for community and students with the constant flow of truck/freight traffic.
- Any ADA Projects?
 - *Projects 0127, 0111, 0201, 0206, 0208, 0127, 0041, 0044, 0123, 0172, 0203, and 0046 mention ADA improvements.*
- Widen sidewalks.
- Maintenance is important and should be reflected in the Project List.
- Increase safety for those that are travelling near heavy truck traffic.
- Better traffic controls for pedestrians and bikes near freeway ramps.
- There should be truck restrictions on neighborhood streets.
- The exits near the Port and the freeway should be improved.

➤ **CLC Member Homework - Results**

Active Transportation/TDM*, Arterial Roadway, Community Programs, Goods Movement Category				Do you have any questions about the Initial List?
Category	Transit Category	Freeway Category		
1	I do not have any projects or programs to add		I do not have any projects or programs to add	
2	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I think the following projects/programs should be added: I'd like to see the Carson Transit Bus Services running again in the community	I think the following projects/programs should be added: Some type of awareness programs as to who to call to report non-emergency situations on the freeways to not back-up 911 call centers.	No
3	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I have a recommendation. Anyone working on the improving the on off ramps to the 710 should use the pch exit off the 110 southbound. After experiencing first-hand how poorly that exit functions during rush hour it may assist in preventing the same mistakes.
4	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	

5	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	
6	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	
7	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	
8	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	
9	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	
10	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	
11	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	I do not have any projects or programs to add	N/A