



**NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM**

<b>DIST-CO-RTE:</b> 07-LA-110
<b>PM/PM:</b> 20.10/20.92
<b>EA or Fed-Aid Project No.:</b> 27800
<b>Other Project No. (specify):</b> EFIS: 0700000537
<b>Project Title:</b> I-110 Adams Terminus Improvement Project
<b>Environmental Approval Type:</b> MND/FONSI
<b>Date Approved:</b> 4/24/2018
<b>Reason for Consultation (23 CFR 771.129), check one:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Project proceeding to next major federal approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in scope, setting, effects, mitigation measures, requirements <input type="checkbox"/> 3-year timeline (EIS only) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>N/A</b> (Re-Validation for CEQA only)
<b>Description of Changed Conditions:</b> <i>The PDT has chosen to move forward with the No-Build Alternative.</i>

**NEPA CONCLUSION - VALIDITY**

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information: (*Check ONE of the three statements below, regarding the validity of the original document/determination (23 CFR 771.129). If document is no longer valid, indicate whether additional public review is warranted and whether the type of environmental document will be elevated.*)

- The original environmental document or CE remains valid. No further documentation will be prepared.**
- The original environmental document or CE is in need of updating; further documentation has been prepared and  is included on the continuation sheet(s) or  is attached. With this additional documentation, the original ED or CE remains valid.**  
**Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3))  Yes  No**
- The original document or CE is no longer valid.**  
**Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3))  Yes  No**  
**Supplemental environmental document is needed.  Yes  No**  
**New environmental document is needed.  Yes  No (If "Yes," specify type: )**

**CONCURRENCE WITH NEPA CONCLUSION**

I concur with the NEPA conclusion above.

<u>Susan Tse Koo</u>	<u>08/28/2023</u>
Signature: Environmental Branch Chief	Date
<u>John Vassiliades</u>	<u>08-31-2023</u>
Signature: Project Manager/DLAE	Date

## NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM

### **CEQA CONCLUSION** (Only mandated for projects on the State Highway System.)

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information, the following conclusion has been reached regarding appropriate CEQA documentation: (*Check ONE of the five statements below, indicating whether any additional documentation will be prepared, and if so, what kind. If additional documentation is prepared, attach a copy of this signed form and any continuation sheets.*)

- Original document remains valid. No further documentation is necessary.
- Only minor technical changes or additions to the previous document are necessary.  
 An addendum has been or will be prepared and is  included on the continuation sheets or  will be attached. It need not be circulated for public review. (CEQA Guidelines, §15164)
- Changes are substantial, but only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous document adequate. A Supplemental environmental document will be prepared, and it will be circulated for public review. (CEQA Guidelines, §15163)
- Changes are substantial, and major revisions to the current document are necessary. A Subsequent environmental document will be prepared, and it will be circulated for public review. (CEQA Guidelines, §15162)  
(Specify type of subsequent document, e.g., Subsequent FEIR):
- The CE is no longer valid. New CE is needed.  Yes  No

### **CONCURRENCE WITH CEQA CONCLUSION**

I concur with the CEQA conclusion above.

Susan Tse Koo

Signature: Environmental Branch Chief

08/28/2023

Date

John Vassiliades

Signature: Project Manager/DLAE

08-31-2023

Date

## NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM

### **CONTINUATION SHEET(S)**

Address only changes or new information since approval of the original document and only those areas that are applicable. Use the list below as section headings as they apply to the project change(s). Use as much or as little space as needed to adequately address the project change(s) and the associated impacts, minimization, avoidance and/or mitigation measures, if any.

#### **Changes in project design, e.g., scope change; a new alternative; change in project alignment.**

The PDT has selected the No-Build Alternative. More information can be found in the attached Addendum.

#### **Changes in environmental setting, e.g., new development affecting traffic or air quality.**

There have been no changes in environmental setting.

#### **Changes in environmental circumstances, e.g., a new law or regulation; change in the status of a listed species.**

There are no changes in environmental circumstances.

#### **Changes to environmental impacts of the project, e.g., a new type of impact, or a change in the magnitude of an existing impact.**

Selection of the No-Build Alternative would decrease the magnitude of impact of the project.

#### **Changes to avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures since the environmental document was approved.**

There are no changes to avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures.

#### **Changes to environmental commitments since the environmental document was approved, e.g., the addition of new conditions in permits or approvals. When this applies, append a revised Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) as one of the Continuation Sheets.**

There are no changes to environmental commitments.