

Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report

GOLD LINE EASTSIDE TRANSIT CORRIDOR PHASE 2



Metro

Prepared for
Los Angeles Metropolitan
Transportation Authority
One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012

June 2022

Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report

Eastside Transit Corridor Phase 2

June 2022

Prepared for:
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Prepared by:
CDM Smith/AECOM Joint Venture
600 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 750
Los Angeles, CA 90017

State Clearinghouse Number: 2010011062

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction.....	ES-1
ES.2 Purpose of the Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report.....	ES-4
ES.2.1 Environmental Review Process	ES-4
ES.2.2 Project Objectives.....	ES-6
ES.3 Alternatives Considered/Project Description.....	ES-6
ES.3.1 Build Alternatives.....	ES-7
ES.3.2 No Project Alternative	ES-9
ES.4 Environmental Analysis.....	ES-9
ES.4.1 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts.....	ES-36
ES.5 Comparison of Alternatives	ES-36
ES.5.1 Environmentally Superior Alternative	ES-37
ES.6 Public Outreach.....	ES-37
ES.7 Areas of Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved.....	ES-38
ES.7.1 Areas of Controversy	ES-38
ES.7.2 Issues to be Resolved.....	ES-38
1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Purpose of this Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report.....	1-1
1.2 Environmental Impact Report Background.....	1-2
1.3 Scope and Content.....	1-3
1.4 Environmental Impact Report Organization.....	1-4
2. Project Description	2-1
2.1 Background.....	2-1
2.2 Project Objectives	2-2
2.3 Project Setting and Location.....	2-3
2.4 Travel Market.....	2-5
2.5 Build Alternatives	2-10
2.5.1 Alternative 1 Washington.....	2-12
2.5.1.1 Guideway Alignment.....	2-14
2.5.1.2 Proposed Stations.....	2-15
2.5.1.3 Design Options.....	2-16
2.5.2 Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS	2-22
2.5.2.1 Guideway Alignment.....	2-22
2.5.2.2 Proposed Stations.....	2-25
2.5.2.3 Design Option.....	2-26
2.5.3 Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	2-26
2.5.3.1 Guideway Alignment.....	2-28
2.5.3.2 Proposed Stations.....	2-28
2.5.3.3 Design Options.....	2-29

2.5.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	2-30
2.5.4.1	Commerce MSF	2-31
2.5.4.2	Montebello MSF	2-32
2.5.5	Ancillary Facilities	2-34
2.5.5.1	Overhead Contact System	2-35
2.5.5.1.1	Tail Tracks	2-35
2.5.5.1.2	Crossovers.....	2-35
2.5.5.1.3	Cross Passages	2-36
2.5.5.1.4	Ventilation Structures	2-36
2.5.5.1.5	Traction Power Substations.....	2-36
2.5.5.1.6	Radio Communications	2-36
2.5.5.1.7	Train Control House and Electrical Power Switches	2-36
2.5.6	Operating Hours and Frequency	2-37
2.6	Construction.....	2-38
2.6.1	Construction Sequencing	2-39
2.6.2	Construction Staging Areas.....	2-43
2.7	Permits and Approvals.....	2-43
2.8	Implementation Schedule.....	2-45
2.9	No Project Alternative	2-45
3.	Environmental Analysis	3.0-1
3.0.1	Introduction	3.01-1
3.0.2	Terminology Used in This Environmental Analysis	3.01-2
3.0.2.1	Environmental Baseline.....	3.01-2
3.0.2.2	Impacts and Mitigation	3.01-3
3.1	Aesthetics	3.1-1
3.1.1	Introduction	3.1-1
3.1.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.1-1
3.1.2.1	State.....	3.1-1
3.1.2.2	Regional and Local.....	3.1-1
3.1.3	Methodology.....	3.1-2
3.1.3.1	Landscape Units	3.1-2
3.1.3.2	Aesthetic Resources.....	3.1-2
3.1.3.3	Aesthetics and Visual Impacts	3.1-3
3.1.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.1-3
3.1.5	Existing Setting	3.1-4
3.1.5.1	Regional Setting.....	3.1-4
3.1.5.2	Scenic Vistas and State Scenic Highways.....	3.1-4
3.1.5.3	Light and Glare	3.1-4
3.1.5.4	Local Setting.....	3.1-4
3.1.5.4.1	Landscape Unit 1 – Atlantic Boulevard, East Los Angeles	3.1-7
3.1.5.4.2	Landscape Unit 2 – Smithway Street, Commerce	3.1-10
3.1.5.4.3	Landscape Unit 3 – Washington Boulevard, Montebello	3.1-12

	3.1.5.4.4	Landscape Unit 4 – Rio Hondo and Rio Hondo Spreading Grounds	3.1-15
	3.1.5.4.5	Landscape Unit 5 – Washington Boulevard, Pico Rivera.....	3.1-17
	3.1.5.4.6	Landscape Unit 6 – San Gabriel River and San Gabriel Spreading Grounds.....	3.1-21
	3.1.5.4.7	Landscape Unit 7 – Washington Boulevard, West Whittier-Los Nietos.....	3.1-22
	3.1.5.4.8	Summary	3.1-25
3.1.6	Impact Evaluation.....		3.1-26
	3.1.6.1	Impact AES-1: Vistas	3.1-26
	3.1.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.1-26
	3.1.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.1-29
	3.1.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.1-29
	3.1.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.1-30
	3.1.6.2	Impact AES-2: Scenic Highways.....	3.1-31
	3.1.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.1-31
	3.1.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.1-32
	3.1.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.1-32
	3.1.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.1-32
	3.1.6.3	Impact AES-3: Visual Character	3.1-32
	3.1.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington Alternative	3.1-33
	3.1.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.1-46
	3.1.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.1-46
	3.1.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.1-47
	3.1.6.4	Impact AES-4: Light and Glare.....	3.1-48
	3.1.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.1-48
	3.1.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.1-50
	3.1.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.1-51
	3.1.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.1-52
3.1.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures		3.1-52
3.1.8	Significance After Mitigation.....		3.1-53
3.2	Air Quality.....		3.2-1
	3.2.1	Introduction	3.2-1
	3.2.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.2-1
	3.2.2.1	Federal.....	3.2-1
	3.2.2.2	State.....	3.2-4
	3.2.2.3	Regional.....	3.2-4
	3.2.2.4	Local	3.2-5
	3.2.2.4.1	Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority.....	3.2-5
	3.2.2.4.2	County and City General Plans.....	3.2-6
	3.2.3	Methodology.....	3.2-6
	3.2.3.1	Operational Emissions	3.2-6
	3.2.3.2	CO Hot Spots.....	3.2-7

3.2.3.3	Construction Emissions	3.2-8
3.2.3.4	Health Risk Assessment.....	3.2-8
3.2.3.4.1	Area of Potential Impact.....	3.2-9
3.2.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.2-9
3.2.4.1	SCAQMD Thresholds	3.2-10
3.2.4.1.1	Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions Thresholds	3.2-10
3.2.4.1.2	Localized Significance Thresholds	3.2-11
3.2.4.1.3	TAC Health Risk Thresholds.....	3.2-12
3.2.5	Existing Setting	3.2-13
3.2.5.1	Air Quality Study Area.....	3.2-13
3.2.5.2	Health Risk Study Area	3.2-13
3.2.5.3	Existing Conditions.....	3.2-13
3.2.5.3.1	Climate and Atmospheric Conditions.....	3.2-13
3.2.5.3.2	Existing Air Quality Conditions	3.2-14
3.2.5.3.3	Existing Health Risk Conditions.....	3.2-19
3.2.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.2-20
3.2.6.1	Impact AQ-1: Air Quality Plan	3.2-20
3.2.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.2-20
3.2.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.2-21
3.2.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.2-22
3.2.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.2-22
3.2.6.2	Impact AQ-2: Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions	3.2-23
3.2.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.2-23
3.2.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.2-27
3.2.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.2-28
3.2.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.2-30
3.2.6.3	Impact AQ-3: Localized Pollutant Concentrations	3.2-31
3.2.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.2-31
3.2.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.2-33
3.2.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.2-35
3.2.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.2-36
3.2.6.4	Impact AQ-4: Other Emissions.....	3.2-37
3.2.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.2-37
3.2.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.2-38
3.2.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.2-39
3.2.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.2-40
3.2.6.5	Impact HR-1: Human Health Risks.....	3.2-40
3.2.6.5.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.2-41
3.2.6.5.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.2-43
3.2.6.5.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.2-44
3.2.6.5.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.2-45
3.2.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.2-46
3.2.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.2-46

3.3	Biological Resources	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction	3.3-1
3.3.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.3-1
3.3.2.1	Federal.....	3.3-1
3.3.2.2	State.....	3.3-1
3.3.2.3	Local	3.3-2
3.3.3	Methodology.....	3.3-2
3.3.3.1	Desktop Review.....	3.3-5
3.3.3.2	Field Investigations.....	3.3-5
3.3.3.2.1	Bat Surveys.....	3.3-5
3.3.3.2.2	Rare Plant Surveys	3.3-5
3.3.3.2.3	Vegetation Community Mapping.....	3.3-6
3.3.3.2.4	Focused Wetland Investigation	3.3-6
3.3.3.2.5	Street Tree Survey	3.3-6
3.3.3.2.6	Site Visits.....	3.3-6
3.3.3.3	Impact Analysis Methodology.....	3.3-6
3.3.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.3-7
3.3.5	Existing Setting	3.3-8
3.3.5.1	Special-Status Species	3.3-9
3.3.5.2	Bats.....	3.3-9
3.3.5.3	Sensitive Vegetation Communities.....	3.3-10
3.3.5.4	Wetlands and other Waters	3.3-10
3.3.5.5	Trees Within Proposed Construction Areas	3.3-15
3.3.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.3-15
3.3.6.1	Impact BIO-1: Protected Species.....	3.3-15
3.3.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.3-15
3.3.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.3-19
3.3.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.3-20
3.3.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.3-21
3.3.6.2	Impact BIO-2: Riparian Habitat/Sensitive Natural Communities	3.3-21
3.3.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.3-22
3.3.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.3-23
3.3.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.3-24
3.3.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.3-25
3.3.6.3	Impact BIO-3: Movement of Fish and Wildlife Species	3.3-25
3.3.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.3-25
3.3.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.3-27
3.3.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.3-27
3.3.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.3-28
3.3.6.4	Impact BIO-4: Policies and Ordinances	3.3-28
3.3.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.3-28
3.3.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.3-30
3.3.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.3-30

3.3.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.3-31
3.3.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.3-31
3.3.7.1	Project Measures	3.3-31
3.3.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-32
3.3.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.3-34
3.4	Cultural Resources	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
3.4.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.4-1
3.4.2.1	Federal.....	3.4-1
3.4.2.2	State.....	3.4-1
3.4.2.3	Local	3.4-2
3.4.3	Methodology.....	3.4-2
3.4.3.1	Area of Potential Effects	3.4-2
3.4.3.2	Interested Parties Consultation.....	3.4-3
3.4.3.3	Identification of Potential Historic Properties.....	3.4-4
3.4.3.3.1	Records Search	3.4-4
3.4.3.3.2	Field Survey	3.4-6
3.4.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.4-7
3.4.5	Existing Setting	3.4-8
3.4.5.1	Context	3.4-8
3.4.5.2	Historical Resources in the APE.....	3.4-9
3.4.5.2.1	Golden Gate Theater, 5176 Whittier Boulevard (Reference No. 1).....	3.4-16
3.4.5.2.2	Vail Field Industrial Addition, Commerce (Reference No. 2)	3.4-17
3.4.5.3	Pacific Metals Company, 2187 Garfield Avenue (Reference No. 41).....	3.4-20
3.4.5.4	Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company Warehouse, 2353 Garfield Avenue (Reference No. 42).....	3.4-21
3.4.5.5	Greenwood Elementary School, 900 South Greenwood Avenue (Reference No. 43).....	3.4-22
3.4.5.6	South Montebello Irrigation District Building, 864 Washington Boulevard (Reference No. 44)	3.4-22
3.4.5.7	William and Florence Kelly House, 860 Washington Boulevard (Reference No. 45).....	3.4-23
3.4.5.8	Site of the Battle of Rio San Gabriel (Reference No. 46)	3.4-24
3.4.5.9	Dal Rae Restaurant, 9023 Washington Boulevard (Reference No. 47)	3.4-25
3.4.5.10	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway, 9122 Washington Boulevard (Reference No. 48).....	3.4-25
3.4.5.11	Cliff May-Designed Ranch House, 6751 Lindsey Avenue (Reference No. 49)	3.4-26
3.4.5.12	Steak Corral Restaurant, 11605 Washington Boulevard (Reference No. 50) .	3.4-27
3.4.5.13	Rheem Laboratory, 12000 Washington Boulevard (Reference No. 51)	3.4-28
3.4.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.4-29
3.4.6.1	Impact CUL-1: Historical Resources	3.4-29

3.4.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.4-29
3.4.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.4-37
3.4.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.4-38
3.4.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities.....	3.4-39
3.4.6.2	Impact CUL-2: Archaeological Resources.....	3.4-40
3.4.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.4-41
3.4.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.4-43
3.4.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.4-43
3.4.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities.....	3.4-44
3.4.6.3	Impact CUL-3: Disturbance of Human Remains.....	3.4-45
3.4.6.3.1	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.4-46
3.4.6.3.2	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.4-46
3.4.6.3.3	Maintenance and Storage Facilities.....	3.4-47
3.4.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-47
3.4.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.4-52
3.5	Energy 3.5-1	
3.5.1	Introduction.....	3.5-1
3.5.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.5-1
3.5.2.1	Federal.....	3.5-1
3.5.2.2	State.....	3.5-2
3.5.2.3	Regional.....	3.5-3
3.5.2.4	Local.....	3.5-3
3.5.3	Methodology.....	3.5-5
3.5.3.1	Construction Energy Analysis.....	3.5-6
3.5.3.2	Operational Energy Analysis.....	3.5-6
3.5.3.2.1	Vehicle Miles Traveled and Fuel Consumption Energy Analysis ..	3.5-6
3.5.3.2.2	Light Rail Transit, Station, and MSF Operations.....	3.5-6
3.5.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	3.5-7
3.5.5	Existing Setting.....	3.5-7
3.5.5.1	Electricity Sector Study Area.....	3.5-8
3.5.5.2	Transportation Sector.....	3.5-9
3.5.5.3	Metro Energy Use and Fuel Consumption.....	3.5-12
3.5.5.4	Electric Power.....	3.5-13
3.5.5.5	Natural Gas.....	3.5-14
3.5.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.5-17
3.5.6.1	Impact ENG-1: Energy Consumption.....	3.5-17
3.5.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.5-17
3.5.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.5-23
3.5.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.5-27
3.5.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities.....	3.5-33
3.5.6.2	Impact ENG-2: Energy Plans.....	3.5-34
3.5.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.5-34
3.5.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.5-37

3.5.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.5-38
3.5.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.5-39
3.5.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.5-40
3.5.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.5-40
3.6	Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
3.6.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.6-1
3.6.2.1	Federal.....	3.6-1
3.6.2.2	State.....	3.6-1
3.6.2.3	Regional.....	3.6-2
3.6.2.4	Local	3.6-2
3.6.3	Methodology.....	3.6-4
3.6.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.6-5
3.6.5	Existing Setting	3.6-6
3.6.5.1	Regional Setting.....	3.6-6
3.6.5.1.1	Topography and Drainage	3.6-6
3.6.5.1.2	Regional Geology	3.6-6
3.6.5.1.3	GSA Geologic Units	3.6-8
3.6.5.1.4	GSA Geologic Conditions.....	3.6-8
3.6.5.2	Faulting and Seismicity	3.6-9
3.6.5.2.1	General Setting	3.6-9
3.6.5.2.2	Active Faults	3.6-9
3.6.5.2.3	Potentially Active Faults.....	3.6-12
3.6.5.2.4	Blind Thrust Fault Zones	3.6-12
3.6.5.2.5	Seismic Hazards	3.6-12
3.6.5.3	Paleontological Resources.....	3.6-15
3.6.5.3.1	Paleontological Potential	3.6-15
3.6.5.3.2	Paleontological Records Search	3.6-15
3.6.5.3.3	Paleontological Literature Search.....	3.6-15
3.6.5.3.4	Assignment of Paleontological Potential to Units.....	3.6-15
3.6.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.6-16
3.6.6.1	Impact GEO-1: Exposure to Seismic Hazards	3.6-16
3.6.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.6-16
3.6.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.6-21
3.6.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.6-23
3.6.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.6-25
3.6.6.2	Impact GEO-2: Soil Erosion	3.6-26
3.6.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.6-26
3.6.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.6-28
3.6.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.6-29
3.6.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.6-30
3.6.6.3	Impact GEO-3: Soil Stability	3.6-30
3.6.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.6-31

3.6.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.6-33
3.6.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.6-34
3.6.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.6-35
3.6.6.4	Impact GEO-4: Expansive Soils.....	3.6-36
3.6.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.6-36
3.6.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.6-37
3.6.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.6-38
3.6.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.6-38
3.6.6.5	Impact GEO-5: Paleontological Resources	3.6-38
3.6.6.5.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.6-39
3.6.6.5.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.6-41
3.6.6.5.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.6-42
3.6.6.5.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.6-43
3.6.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.6-43
3.6.7.1	Project Measures	3.6-43
3.6.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-44
3.6.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.6-45
3.7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
3.7.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.7-1
3.7.2.1	Federal.....	3.7-1
3.7.2.1.1	Greenhouse Gas and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Clean Vehicles	3.7-1
3.7.2.2	State.....	3.7-2
3.7.2.2.1	California Advanced Clean Cars Program	3.7-2
3.7.2.2.2	California Advanced Clean Cars II Program.....	3.7-3
3.7.2.2.3	California Executive Order S-3-05, B-30-15, and B-55-18.....	3.7-3
3.7.2.2.4	Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Assembly Bill 32 and Senate Bill 32)	3.7-3
3.7.2.2.5	Paris Climate Accord - U.S. Climate Alliance.....	3.7-3
3.7.2.2.6	Senate Bills.....	3.7-4
3.7.2.2.7	California Executive Order S-01-07 and the Low Carbon Fuel Standard.....	3.7-4
3.7.2.2.8	Innovative Clean Transit Regulation	3.7-5
3.7.2.2.9	Potential Amendments to the Diesel Engine Off-Road Emission Standards.....	3.7-5
3.7.2.3	Regional.....	3.7-5
3.7.2.4	Local	3.7-5
3.7.3	Methodology.....	3.7-6
3.7.3.1	Construction Emissions	3.7-6
3.7.3.2	Operational Emissions	3.7-7
3.7.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.7-8
3.7.4.1	South Coast Air Quality Management District Guidance	3.7-8

3.7.4.2	Amendments to the CEQA Guidelines	3.7-8
3.7.5	Existing Setting	3.7-11
3.7.5.1	Area of Potential Impact.....	3.7-11
3.7.5.2	Description of Relevant Pollutants.....	3.7-11
3.7.5.3	Existing Conditions.....	3.7-11
3.7.5.3.1	Regional Highway Traffic Emissions.....	3.7-12
3.7.5.3.2	Total Operational Emissions.....	3.7-13
3.7.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.7-14
3.7.6.1	Impact GHG-1: Emission Generation	3.7-14
3.7.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.7-14
3.7.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.7-23
3.7.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.7-29
3.7.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.7-38
3.7.6.2	Impact GHG-2: Conflicts	3.7-39
3.7.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.7-40
3.7.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.7-41
3.7.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.7-41
3.7.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.7-42
3.7.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.7-42
3.7.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.7-42
3.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
3.8.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.8-1
3.8.2.1	Federal.....	3.8-1
3.8.2.2	State.....	3.8-2
3.8.2.3	Regional.....	3.8-3
3.8.2.4	Local	3.8-3
3.8.3	Methodology.....	3.8-4
3.8.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.8-6
3.8.5	Existing Setting	3.8-7
3.8.5.1	Definition of Terms.....	3.8-7
3.8.5.2	Affected Properties with Documented Releases.....	3.8-7
3.8.5.3	Omega Superfund Site	3.8-19
3.8.5.4	Hazardous Materials from Roadway Corridors	3.8-19
3.8.5.5	Hazardous Building Materials	3.8-20
3.8.5.6	Subsurface Gas Conditions and Oil and Gas Wells	3.8-21
3.8.5.7	Petroleum and Natural Gas Pipelines.....	3.8-23
3.8.5.8	Agricultural Chemicals.....	3.8-24
3.8.5.9	Proximity to Schools	3.8-24
3.8.5.10	Proximity to Airports.....	3.8-25
3.8.5.11	Wildfire Hazards	3.8-25
3.8.5.12	Emergency Response.....	3.8-25
3.8.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.8-26

3.8.6.1	Impact HAZ-1: Transport, Storage, Use, or Disposal of Hazardous Materials	3.8-26
3.8.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-26
3.8.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-30
3.8.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-31
3.8.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-32
3.8.6.2	Impact HAZ-2: Release of Hazardous Materials	3.8-33
3.8.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-33
3.8.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-37
3.8.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-39
3.8.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-40
3.8.6.3	Impact HAZ-3: Hazardous Materials Within One-Quarter Mile of a School.....	3.8-44
3.8.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-44
3.8.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-46
3.8.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-48
3.8.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-49
3.8.6.4	Impact HAZ-4: Hazardous Materials Sites (Government Code Section 65962.5).....	3.8-50
3.8.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-50
3.8.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-53
3.8.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-54
3.8.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-56
3.8.6.5	Impact HAZ-5: Airport Land Use Plans.....	3.8-57
3.8.6.5.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-57
3.8.6.5.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-57
3.8.6.5.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-58
3.8.6.5.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-58
3.8.6.6	Impact HAZ-6: Emergency Response or Emergency Evacuation Plan	3.8-58
3.8.6.6.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-58
3.8.6.6.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-61
3.8.6.6.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-62
3.8.6.6.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-63
3.8.6.7	Impact HAZ-7: Wildland Hazards.....	3.8-64
3.8.6.7.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.8-64
3.8.6.7.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.8-64
3.8.6.7.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.8-65
3.8.6.7.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.8-65
3.8.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.8-65
3.8.7.1	Project Measures	3.8-65
3.8.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-68
3.8.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.8-71
3.9	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3.9-1

3.9.1	Introduction	3.9-1
3.9.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.9-1
3.9.2.1	Federal.....	3.9-1
3.9.2.1.1	Clean Water Act.....	3.9-1
3.9.2.1.2	Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of 1899	3.9-2
3.9.2.1.3	Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management.....	3.9-2
3.9.2.1.4	National Flood Insurance Program.....	3.9-3
3.9.2.2	State.....	3.9-3
3.9.2.2.1	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.....	3.9-3
3.9.2.2.2	California Fish and Game Code Section 1602	3.9-3
3.9.2.2.3	State Antidegradation Policy	3.9-3
3.9.2.2.4	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	3.9-4
3.9.2.2.5	Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act and Seismic Hazards Mapping Act of 1990	3.9-4
3.9.2.2.6	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act.....	3.9-4
3.9.2.3	Regional.....	3.9-5
3.9.2.3.1	NPDES Permits.....	3.9-5
3.9.2.3.2	Waste Discharge Requirements	3.9-5
3.9.2.3.3	Basin Plan.....	3.9-5
3.9.2.3.4	Total Maximum Daily Loads.....	3.9-5
3.9.2.3.5	Watershed Management and Enhanced Watershed Management Programs.....	3.9-6
3.9.2.4	Local	3.9-6
3.9.3	Methodology.....	3.9-7
3.9.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.9-8
3.9.5	Existing Setting	3.9-9
3.9.5.1	Watershed Setting and Local Surface Water Bodies	3.9-9
3.9.5.1.1	Los Angeles River Watershed	3.9-9
3.9.5.1.2	Rio Hondo Sub-Watershed.....	3.9-9
3.9.5.1.3	San Gabriel River Watershed.....	3.9-9
3.9.5.2	Water Quality	3.9-12
3.9.5.2.1	Surface Water	3.9-12
3.9.5.2.2	Groundwater	3.9-13
3.9.5.3	Groundwater Supplies and Recharge.....	3.9-13
3.9.5.3.1	Central Subbasin.....	3.9-14
3.9.5.4	Drainage.....	3.9-14
3.9.5.5	Flooding and Inundation.....	3.9-14
3.9.5.5.1	Flood Zones	3.9-15
3.9.5.5.2	Inundation Zones	3.9-15
3.9.5.6	Municipal Water Supply	3.9-17
3.9.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.9-17
3.9.6.1	Impact HWQ-1: Water Quality	3.9-17
3.9.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.9-17

3.9.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.9-23
3.9.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.9-26
3.9.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.9-28
3.9.6.2	Impact HWQ-2: Groundwater Supplies and Recharge	3.9-29
3.9.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.9-29
3.9.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.9-32
3.9.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.9-33
3.9.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.9-33
3.9.6.3	Impact HWQ-3: Drainage Patterns	3.9-34
3.9.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.9-34
3.9.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.9-43
3.9.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.9-45
3.9.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.9-48
3.9.6.4	Impact HWQ-4: Inundation	3.9-50
3.9.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.9-50
3.9.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.9-52
3.9.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.9-52
3.9.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.9-53
3.9.6.5	Impact HWQ-5: Water Management	3.9-53
3.9.6.5.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.9-53
3.9.6.5.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.9-57
3.9.6.5.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.9-58
3.9.6.5.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.9-60
3.9.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.9-61
3.9.7.1	Project Measures	3.9-61
3.9.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-62
3.9.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.9-64
3.10	Land Use and Planning.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction	3.10-1
3.10.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.10-1
3.10.2.1	State.....	3.10-1
3.10.2.2	Local	3.10-1
3.10.3	Methodology.....	3.10-4
3.10.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.10-4
3.10.5	Existing Setting	3.10-4
3.10.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.10-7
3.10.6.1	Impact LUP-1: Established Community	3.10-7
3.10.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.10-7
3.10.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.10-10
3.10.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.10-12
3.10.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.10-13
3.10.6.2	Impact LUP-2: Plan, Policy, or Regulation Conflicts.....	3.10-14
3.10.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.10-14

3.10.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.10-21
3.10.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.10-22
3.10.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.10-23
3.10.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.10-25
3.10.7.1	Project Measures	3.10-25
3.10.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-25
3.10.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.10-25
3.11	Noise and Vibration	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-1
3.11.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.11-1
3.11.2.1	Definitions.....	3.11-1
3.11.2.1.1	Noise	3.11-1
3.11.2.1.2	Vibration.....	3.11-2
3.11.2.2	Federal.....	3.11-3
3.11.2.2.1	Noise	3.11-3
3.11.2.2.2	Vibration.....	3.11-5
3.11.2.3	State.....	3.11-6
3.11.2.4	Local	3.11-6
3.11.3	Methodology.....	3.11-7
3.11.3.1	Screening Assessment.....	3.11-7
3.11.3.2	Noise Modeling Methodology.....	3.11-7
3.11.3.3	Noise Evaluation.....	3.11-7
3.11.3.4	Construction Noise Assumptions.....	3.11-9
3.11.3.5	Operational Noise Assumptions.....	3.11-10
3.11.3.6	Vibration Monitoring Methodology	3.11-12
3.11.3.7	Construction Vibration Assumptions	3.11-12
3.11.3.8	Operational Vibration Assumptions	3.11-12
3.11.3.9	Ground-Borne Noise	3.11-12
3.11.3.10	Roadway Traffic Noise Assumptions	3.11-13
3.11.3.11	Area of Potential Impact.....	3.11-13
3.11.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.11-13
3.11.5	Existing Setting	3.11-14
3.11.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.11-15
3.11.6.1	Impact NOI-1: Ambient Noise.....	3.11-15
3.11.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.11-15
3.11.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.11-26
3.11.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.11-28
3.11.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.11-31
3.11.6.2	Impact NOI-2: Ground-Borne Vibration or Ground-Borne Noise	3.11-32
3.11.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.11-32
3.11.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.11-40
3.11.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.11-43
3.11.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.11-47

3.11.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.11-47
3.11.7.1	Project Measures	3.11-47
3.11.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-48
3.11.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.11-50
3.12	Population and Housing.....	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
3.12.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.12-1
3.12.2.1	State.....	3.12-1
3.12.2.1.1	California Relocation Act	3.12-1
3.12.2.2	Local	3.12-1
3.12.3	Methodology.....	3.12-2
3.12.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.12-2
3.12.5	Existing Setting	3.12-2
3.12.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.12-7
3.12.6.1	Impact PPH-1: Unplanned Population Growth	3.12-7
3.12.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.12-7
3.12.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Citadel IOS.....	3.12-9
3.12.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.12-10
3.12.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.12-10
3.12.6.2	Impact PPH-2: Displacement.....	3.12-11
3.12.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.12-11
3.12.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Citadel IOS.....	3.12-12
3.12.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.12-13
3.12.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.12-13
3.12.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.12-14
3.12.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.12-14
3.13	Public Services and Recreation.....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
3.13.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.13-1
3.13.2.1	Federal.....	3.13-1
3.13.2.1.1	National Fire Protection Code.....	3.13-1
3.13.2.2	State.....	3.13-1
3.13.2.2.1	California Fire Code	3.13-1
3.13.2.2.2	California Penal Code	3.13-2
3.13.2.2.3	California Public Park Preservation Act.....	3.13-2
3.13.2.2.4	Quimby Act	3.13-2
3.13.2.3	Regional and Local.....	3.13-2
3.13.2.3.1	Metro	3.13-2
3.13.2.3.2	Los Angeles County and Municipalities.....	3.13-3
3.13.3	Methodology.....	3.13-3
3.13.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.13-3
3.13.5	Existing Setting	3.13-4
3.13.5.1	Fire and Police Protection	3.13-4

3.13.5.1.1	Metro	3.13-4
3.13.5.1.2	Local	3.13-4
3.13.5.2	Schools	3.13-7
3.13.5.3	Other Public Facilities.....	3.13-7
3.13.5.4	Parks and Recreational Facilities.....	3.13-8
3.13.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.13-8
3.13.6.1	Impact PSR-1: Public Services	3.13-8
3.13.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.13-8
3.13.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Citadel IOS.....	3.13-16
3.13.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.13-17
3.13.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.13-18
3.13.6.2	Impact PSR-2: Increased Recreation.....	3.13-20
3.13.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.13-20
3.13.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Citadel IOS.....	3.13-22
3.13.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.13-23
3.13.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.13-24
3.13.6.3	Impact PSR-3: New Recreation Facilities	3.13-24
3.13.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.13-24
3.13.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Citadel IOS.....	3.13-25
3.13.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.13-25
3.13.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.13-25
3.13.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.13-26
3.13.7.1	Project Measures	3.13-26
3.13.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.13-26
3.13.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.13-26
3.14	Transportation and Traffic	3.14-1
3.14.1	Introduction	3.14-1
3.14.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.14-1
3.14.2.1	Federal.....	3.14-1
3.14.2.2	State.....	3.14-1
3.14.2.3	Local	3.14-2
3.14.3	Methodology.....	3.14-3
3.14.3.1	Transit	3.14-3
3.14.3.2	Regional Transportation	3.14-3
3.14.3.3	Pedestrian and Bicyclists	3.14-4
3.14.3.4	Emergency Access	3.14-4
3.14.3.5	Impact Designation	3.14-4
3.14.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.14-4
3.14.5	Existing Setting	3.14-5
3.14.5.1	Transit	3.14-5
3.14.5.2	Regional Transportation	3.14-10
3.14.5.3	Roadway Characteristics Summary.....	3.14-11
3.14.5.4	Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation.....	3.14-11

3.14.5.5	Emergency Access	3.14-13
3.14.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.14-13
3.14.6.1	Impact TRA-1: Conflict with Programs, Plans, and Policies.....	3.14-13
3.14.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.14-13
3.14.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.14-19
3.14.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.14-22
3.14.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.14-27
3.14.6.2	Impact TRA-2: Conflict with CEQA Guidelines	3.14-29
3.14.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.14-29
3.14.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.14-31
3.14.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.14-32
3.14.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.14-33
3.14.6.3	Impact TRA-3: Design Hazards or Incompatible Uses	3.14-34
3.14.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.14-34
3.14.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.14-36
3.14.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.14-37
3.14.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.14-38
3.14.6.4	Impact TRA-4: Inadequate Emergency Access	3.14-39
3.14.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.14-39
3.14.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.14-42
3.14.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.14-43
3.14.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.14-44
3.14.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.14-45
3.14.7.1	Project Measures	3.14-45
3.14.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.14-48
3.14.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.14-49
3.15	Tribal Cultural Resources.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-1
3.15.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.15-1
3.15.2.1	Federal.....	3.15-1
3.15.2.2	State.....	3.15-1
3.15.3	Methodology.....	3.15-2
3.15.3.1	Project Area of Direct Impacts	3.15-2
3.15.3.2	Identification of Register-Eligible Resources	3.15-2
3.15.3.3	Identification of Consulting Parties	3.15-3
3.15.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.15-3
3.15.5	Existing Setting	3.15-4
3.15.5.1	Battle of Rio San Gabriel.....	3.15-4
3.15.5.2	AB 52 Consultation Results	3.15-4
3.15.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.15-5
3.15.6.1	Impact TCR-1: Historical Resources.....	3.15-5
3.15.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.15-5
3.15.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.15-8

3.15.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.15-9
3.15.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.15-10
3.15.6.2	Impact TCR-2: Native Tribal Significance	3.15-11
3.15.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.15-11
3.15.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.15-13
3.15.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.15-14
3.15.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.15-15
3.15.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.15-15
3.15.7.1	Project Measures	3.15-15
3.15.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-16
3.15.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.15-17
3.16	Utilities and Service Systems.....	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.16-1
3.16.2.1	Federal.....	3.16-1
3.16.2.1.1	Electricity	3.16-1
3.16.2.1.2	Solid Waste	3.16-1
3.16.2.1.3	Telecommunications	3.16-1
3.16.2.1.4	Water	3.16-2
3.16.2.2	State.....	3.16-2
3.16.2.2.1	Solid Waste	3.16-2
3.16.2.2.2	Stormwater.....	3.16-3
3.16.2.2.3	Wastewater.....	3.16-3
3.16.2.2.4	Water	3.16-3
3.16.2.2.5	Other Utilities.....	3.16-4
3.16.2.3	Regional.....	3.16-5
3.16.2.3.1	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	3.16-5
3.16.2.3.2	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	3.16-6
3.16.2.3.3	Southern California Association of Governments	3.16-6
3.16.2.3.4	Los Angeles County	3.16-7
3.16.2.4	Local	3.16-7
3.16.3	Methodology.....	3.16-7
3.16.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.16-8
3.16.5	Existing Setting	3.16-8
3.16.5.1	Water Supply.....	3.16-8
3.16.5.2	Sanitary Sewer.....	3.16-9
3.16.5.3	Storm Drains.....	3.16-9
3.16.5.4	Solid Waste	3.16-11
3.16.5.5	Telecommunications	3.16-11
3.16.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.16-12
3.16.6.1	Impact UTL-1: Relocation or Construction	3.16-12
3.16.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.16-12
3.16.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.16-16

3.16.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.16-20
3.16.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.16-23
3.16.6.2	Impact UTL-2: Water Supplies	3.16-25
3.16.6.2.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.16-25
3.16.6.2.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.16-27
3.16.6.2.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.16-28
3.16.6.2.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.16-28
3.16.6.3	Impact UTL-3: Wastewater	3.16-29
3.16.6.3.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.16-29
3.16.6.3.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.16-31
3.16.6.3.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.16-31
3.16.6.3.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.16-32
3.16.6.4	Impact UTL-4: Solid Waste.....	3.16-32
3.16.6.4.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.16-33
3.16.6.4.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.16-35
3.16.6.4.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.16-36
3.16.6.4.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.16-37
3.16.6.5	Impact UTL-5: Regulations	3.16-38
3.16.6.5.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.16-38
3.16.6.5.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.16-39
3.16.6.5.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	3.16-39
3.16.6.5.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities	3.16-39
3.16.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures	3.16-40
3.16.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.16-40
3.17	Growth-Inducing Impacts	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-1
3.17.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.17-1
3.17.2.1	Federal.....	3.17-1
3.17.2.2	State.....	3.17-1
3.17.2.3	Local	3.17-1
3.17.3	Methodology.....	3.17-2
3.17.4	Thresholds of Significance	3.17-3
3.17.5	Existing Setting	3.17-3
3.17.5.1	Historic Growth	3.17-3
3.17.5.1.1	Population and Households.....	3.17-3
3.17.5.1.2	Employment.....	3.17-5
3.17.5.1.3	Unemployment	3.17-5
3.17.5.1.4	Land Use	3.17-6
3.17.5.1.5	Summary	3.17-7
3.17.5.2	Future Growth.....	3.17-7
3.17.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.17-8
3.17.6.1	Impact GRW-1: Growth Inducement.....	3.17-8
3.17.6.1.1	Alternative 1 Washington.....	3.17-9

3.17.6.1.2	Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.17-12
3.17.6.1.3	Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.17-14
3.17.6.1.4	Maintenance and Storage Facilities.....	3.17-17
3.17.7	Project Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-18
3.17.7.1	Project Measures.....	3.17-18
3.17.7.2	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-18
3.17.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.17-18
3.18	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction.....	3.18-1
3.18.2	Regulatory Framework.....	3.18-1
3.18.2.1	Federal.....	3.18-1
3.18.2.2	State.....	3.18-1
3.18.2.3	Local.....	3.18-1
3.18.3	Methodology.....	3.18-2
3.18.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	3.18-4
3.18.5	Plans and Projects.....	3.18-4
3.18.5.1	Transportation Plans.....	3.18-4
3.18.5.1.1	Southern California Associations of Governments Regional Transportation Plan.....	3.18-4
3.18.5.1.2	Metro’s Long-Range Transportation Plan.....	3.18-4
3.18.5.1.3	Metro Vision 2028 Strategic Plan.....	3.18-5
3.18.5.1.4	NextGen Bus Plan.....	3.18-5
3.18.5.1.5	Bus Rapid Transit Vision and Principles Study.....	3.18-5
3.18.5.1.6	First/Last Mile Planning.....	3.18-6
3.18.5.2	Transportation Projects.....	3.18-6
3.18.5.2.1	Measure M Expenditure Plan.....	3.18-6
3.18.5.2.2	MicroTransit.....	3.18-9
3.18.5.2.3	Local Transportation Plans and Projects.....	3.18-9
3.18.5.3	Land Use Plans and Projects.....	3.18-13
3.18.5.3.1	Metro's Transit-Oriented Communities Policy.....	3.18-13
3.18.5.3.2	Related Projects.....	3.18-13
3.18.6	Impact Evaluation.....	3.18-17
3.18.6.1	Aesthetics.....	3.18-17
3.18.6.2	Air Quality.....	3.18-17
3.18.6.3	Biological Resources.....	3.18-18
3.18.6.4	Cultural Resources.....	3.18-19
3.18.6.5	Energy.....	3.18-20
3.18.6.6	Geology, Seismicity, Soils, and Paleontological Resources.....	3.18-21
3.18.6.7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.18-22
3.18.6.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	3.18-22
3.18.6.9	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3.18-23
3.18.6.10	Land Use and Planning.....	3.18-24
3.18.6.11	Noise and Vibration.....	3.18-24

3.18.6.12	Population and Housing	3.18-25
3.18.6.13	Public Services and Recreation	3.18-26
3.18.6.14	Transportation and Traffic.....	3.18-26
3.18.6.15	Tribal Cultural Resources	3.18-28
3.18.6.16	Utilities and Service Systems	3.18-28
3.18.6.17	Growth Inducing Impacts.....	3.18-28
3.18.7	Mitigation Measures	3.18-29
3.18.8	Significance After Mitigation.....	3.18-31
4.	Other CEQA Required Topics.....	4-1
4.1	Effects Determined Not to be Significant	4-1
4.1.1	Agricultural and Forestry Resources.....	4-1
4.1.1.1	Impact AFR-1: Conversion of Farmland to Non-Agricultural Use.....	4-1
4.1.1.1.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-1
4.1.1.2	Impact AFR-2: Conflict with Existing Zoning for Agricultural Use or a Williamson Act Contract.....	4-2
4.1.1.2.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-2
4.1.1.3	Impact AFR-3: Conflict with Existing Zoning for Forestland.....	4-2
4.1.1.3.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-2
4.1.1.4	Impact AFR-4: Loss or Conversion of Forest Land.....	4-2
4.1.1.4.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-3
4.1.1.5	Impact AFR-5: Conversion of Farmland or Forest Land.....	4-3
4.1.1.5.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-3
4.1.2	Mineral Resources	4-3
4.1.2.1	Impact MNR-1: Loss of Availability of a Mineral Resource	4-3
4.1.2.1.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-3
4.1.2.2	Impact MNR-2: Loss of Availability of a Mineral Resource Delineated on a Local Plan.....	4-4
4.1.2.2.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-4
4.1.3	Wildfire.....	4-5
4.1.3.1	Impact WFR-1: Impair an Adopted Emergency Plan	4-5
4.1.3.1.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-5
4.1.3.2	Impact WFR-2: Expose Occupants to Pollutant Concentrations From a Wildfire	4-6
4.1.3.2.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-6
4.1.3.3	Impact WFR-3: Infrastructure Exacerbate Fire Risk.....	4-6
4.1.3.3.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-6
4.1.3.4	Impact WFR-4: Expose People of Structures to Significant Risk	4-6
4.1.3.4.1	Operational and Construction Impacts	4-7
4.2	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts.....	4-7
4.2.1	Construction	4-7
4.2.2	Operation.....	4-8
4.3	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	4-8
4.4	Potential Secondary Effects.....	4-9

4.4.1	Biological Resources.....	4-9
4.4.2	Cultural Resources.....	4-10
4.4.3	Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources.....	4-10
4.4.4	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	4-11
4.4.5	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	4-11
4.4.6	Noise and Vibration.....	4-11
4.4.7	Transportation and Traffic.....	4-12
4.4.8	Tribal Cultural Resources.....	4-13
5.	Comparison of Alternatives	5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.2	Project Objectives	5-1
5.3	Alternatives Withdrawn.....	5-2
5.4	Summary of Project Alternatives	5-6
5.5	No Project Alternative.....	5-8
5.6	Comparison of Alternatives	5-10
5.6.1	No Project.....	5-12
5.6.2	Alternative 1 with the Commerce MSF	5-12
5.6.2.1	Summary.....	5-16
5.6.3	Alternative 1 with the Montebello MSF.....	5-16
5.6.3.1	Summary.....	5-19
5.6.4	Alternative 2 with the Commerce MSF.....	5-19
5.6.4.1	Summary.....	5-21
5.6.5	Alternative 3 with Commerce MSF	5-21
5.6.5.1	Summary.....	5-24
5.6.6	Alternative 3 with the Montebello MSF	5-24
5.6.6.1	Summary.....	5-26
5.6.7	Design Options.....	5-26
5.7	Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	5-28
6.	Public Outreach	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Background.....	6-1
6.2.1	Public Outreach 2007 through 2014.....	6-1
6.2.1.1	2010 Scoping	6-2
6.2.1.2	2010 Public Outreach Post Scoping.....	6-2
6.2.2	2014 Draft EIR/EIS.....	6-3
6.2.2.1	2014 Notice of Availability.....	6-3
6.2.2.2	2014 Public Hearings.....	6-3
6.2.3	2017 Post Draft EIS/EIR Technical Study.....	6-4
6.3	2019 Scoping.....	6-7
6.3.1	Public Outreach Prior to 2019 Scoping	6-7
6.3.2	Public Outreach Work Plans	6-8
6.3.3	Notice of Preparation/Notice of Intent.....	6-9

6.3.4	Scoping Meetings	6-9
6.4	2020 Public Outreach	6-11
6.5	2021 Public Outreach	6-11
6.6	2022 Public Outreach.....	6-14
6.7	Government and Other Agency Consultation.....	6-15
6.8	Tribal Coordination	6-16
6.9	Other Supporting Public Outreach.....	6-16
6.9.1	Stakeholder Organization Outreach	6-16
6.9.2	Ongoing Public Outreach.....	6-17
6.9.2.1	Stakeholder Database.....	6-17
6.9.2.2	Online communication tools	6-17
6.9.2.3	Notification and Project Awareness Efforts	6-17
6.9.3	Public and Agency Comment Process	6-19
6.10	Commenting on this Recirculated Draft EIR.....	6-19
7.	Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	7-1
8.	List of Contributors and Preparers.....	8-1
8.1	Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro)	8-1
8.2	Hatch LTK.....	8-2
8.3	PARIKH	8-2
8.4	ICF	8-2
8.5	CDM Smith.....	8-2
8.6	AECOM	8-3
8.7	SCL.....	8-4
8.8	TransLink	8-4
8.9	Morgner	8-4
8.10	Barrio Planners	8-5
8.11	Cordoba	8-5
8.12	HNTB.....	8-5
8.13	D’Leon	8-5
8.14	Diaz Yourman & Associates	8-5
8.15	Lenax.....	8-5
8.16	Mott McDonald.....	8-6
8.17	Perkins Eastman.....	8-6
8.18	V&A.....	8-6
8.19	Vicus	8-6
8.20	Wagner.....	8-6
9.	References	9-1

Tables

Table ES-1. Summary of Build Alternatives Components	ES-8
Table ES-2. Summary of Impacts by Environmental Resource	ES-13
Table ES-3. Summary of Impact Evaluation of Recirculated Draft EIR	ES-14
Table ES-4. Comparison of Impact Determinations by Alternative for Environmental Resources with Significant and Unavoidable Impacts.....	ES-36
Table 2-1. Components of the Build Alternatives	2-11
Table 2-2. Estimate of Excavated Material Volumes.....	2-38
Table 2-3. Summary of Typical LRT Construction Activities.....	2-40
Table 2-4. Required Agency/Jurisdiction Approvals	2-44
Table 2-5. Required Agency/Jurisdiction Permits	2-44
Table 2-6. Project Timeline.....	2-45
Table 3.1-1. Photograph Viewpoints	3.1-6
Table 3.1-2. Existing Visual Resources by Landscape Unit	3.1-25
Table 3.1-3. Summary of Impact Determinations for Build Alternatives and MSF Options	3.1-55
Table 3.2-1. National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.2-2
Table 3.2-2. Characteristics and Health Effects of Criteria Pollutants	3.2-3
Table 3.2-3. Federal and State Attainment Status.....	3.2-4
Table 3.2-4. SCAQMD Mass Daily Thresholds	3.2-10
Table 3.2-5. Allowable Construction Emissions for Source-Receptor Area ¹ for a 5-Acre Site at 25-Meter (82-Foot) Receptor Distance from Site Boundary	3.2-12
Table 3.2-6. Summary of Pollutant Monitoring Data Near the DSA.....	3.2-14
Table 3.2-7. Existing Conditions Highway Traffic Emissions	3.2-18
Table 3.2-8. Existing Conditions Total Operational Emissions	3.2-19
Table 3.2-9. Alternative 1 Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	3.2-24
Table 3.2-10. Alternative 1 Construction Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions	3.2-25
Table 3.2-11. Build Alternative 2 Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	3.2-27
Table 3.2-12. Alternative 2 Construction Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions	3.2-28
Table 3.2-13. Build Alternative 3 Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	3.2-29
Table 3.2-14. Build Alternative 3 Construction Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	3.2-30
Table 3.2-15. Build Alternative 1 Construction Localized Emissions	3.2-32
Table 3.2-16. Build Alternative 2 Construction Localized Emissions	3.2-34
Table 3.2-17. Build Alternative 3 Construction Localized Emissions.....	3.2-36
Table 3.2-18. Build Alternative 1 Tier 2 HRA Screening Results	3.2-42
Table 3.2-19. Build Alternative 2 Tier 2 HRA Screening Results.....	3.2-44
Table 3.2-20. Build Alternative 3 Tier 2 HRA Screening Results	3.2-45
Table 3.2-21. Summary of Impact Determinations for Build Alternatives and MSF Options	3.2-47
Table 3.3-1. Tree Counts Along the Build Alternatives and MSF Site Options	3.3-15
Table 3.3-2. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.3-35

Table 3.4-1. Previously Recorded Significant Built Environment Resources in the APE	3.4-5
Table 3.4-2. Previously Recorded Archaeological Resources within a 0.5-mile Radius of the ADI.....	3.4-6
Table 3.4-3. Historical Resources in the APE	3.4-11
Table 3.4-4. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.4-53
Table 3.5-1. Annual Regional Transportation Energy Use, Existing Conditions.....	3.5-12
Table 3.5-2. Estimated Energy Consumption from Operation – Alternative 1 with Commerce MSF Site Option.....	3.5-19
Table 3.5-3. Estimated Energy Consumption from Operation – Alternative 1 with Montebello MSF Site Option.....	3.5-20
Table 3.5-4. Estimated Total Energy Consumption from Construction – Alternative 1 with Commerce MSF.....	3.5-21
Table 3.5-5. Estimated Total Energy Consumption from Construction – Alternative 1 with Montebello MSF.....	3.5-22
Table 3.5-6. Estimated Energy Consumption from Construction – Montebello At-Grade Option.....	3.5-22
Table 3.5-7. Estimated Energy Consumption from Operation – Alternative 2 with Commerce MSF.....	3.5-26
Table 3.5-8. Estimated Total Energy Consumption from Construction – Alternative 2 with Commerce MSF.....	3.5-27
Table 3.5-9. Estimated Energy Consumption from Operation – Alternative 3 with Commerce MSF.....	3.5-30
Table 3.5-10. Estimated Energy Consumption from Operation – Alternative 3 with Montebello MSF.....	3.5-31
Table 3.5-11. Estimated Total Energy Consumption from Construction – Alternative 3 with Commerce MSF.....	3.5-32
Table 3.5-12. Estimated Total Energy Consumption from Construction – Alternative 3 with Montebello MSF.....	3.5-32
Table 3.5-13. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.5-41
Table 3.6-1. Summary of Impact Determinations for Build Alternatives and MSF Options.....	3.6-47
Table 3.7-1. Existing and 2042 without Project Conditions Annual Regional Highway Traffic GHG Emissions	3.7-13
Table 3.7-2. Existing and 2042 without Project Conditions Total Operational GHG Emissions	3.7-14
Table 3.7-3. Alternative 1 Annual Regional Highway Traffic GHG Emissions.....	3.7-15
Table 3.7-4. Alternative 1 Annual LRV Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-15
Table 3.7-5. Alternative 1 Annual Station Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-16
Table 3.7-6. Alternative 1 Annual Parking Facility Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-16
Table 3.7-7. Alternative 1 Annual Train Control Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-17
Table 3.7-8. Alternative 1 Commerce MSF Site Option Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-17
Table 3.7-9. Alternative 1 Montebello MSF Site Option Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-18

Table 3.7-10. Alternative 1 with Commerce MSF Site Option Total Operational GHG Emissions	3.7-19
Table 3.7-11. Alternative 1 with Montebello MSF Site Option Total Operational GHG Emissions	3.7-20
Table 3.7-12. Alternative 1 Annual Construction GHG Emissions.....	3.7-22
Table 3.7-13. Alternative 2 Annual Regional Highway Traffic GHG Emissions.....	3.7-23
Table 3.7-14. Alternative 2 Annual LRV Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-24
Table 3.7-15. Alternative 2 Annual Station Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-25
Table 3.7-16. Alternative 2 Annual Train Control Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-25
Table 3.7-17. Alternative 2 Commerce MSF Site Option Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-26
Table 3.7-18. Alternative 2 with Commerce MSF Site Option Total Operational GHG Emissions	3.7-27
Table 3.7-19. Alternative 2 Annual Construction GHG Emissions.....	3.7-29
Table 3.7-20. Alternative 3 Annual Regional Highway Traffic GHG Emissions	3.7-30
Table 3.7-21. Alternative 3 Annual LRV Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-31
Table 3.7-22. Alternative 3 Annual Station Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-31
Table 3.7-23. Alternative 3 Annual Parking Facility Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-32
Table 3.7-24. Alternative 3 Annual Train Control Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-32
Table 3.7-25. Alternative 3 Commerce MSF Site Option Operations GHG Emissions	3.7-33
Table 3.7-26. Alternative 3 Montebello MSF Site Option Operations GHG Emissions.....	3.7-33
Table 3.7-27. Alternative 3 with Commerce MSF Site Option Total Operational GHG Emissions	3.7-35
Table 3.7-28. Alternative 3 with Montebello MSF Site Option Total Operational GHG Emissions	3.7-36
Table 3.7-29. Alternative 3 Annual Construction GHG Emissions.....	3.7-38
Table 3.7-30. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.7-43
Table 3.8-1. Affected Properties with Documented Releases	3.8-9
Table 3.8-2. Hazardous Waste Disposal Landfills	3.8-29
Table 3.8-3. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.8-73
Table 3.9-1. 303(d) List of Pollutants Covered by TMDLs, Rio Hondo Reach 2	3.9-12
Table 3.9-2. List of Pollutants Covered by TMDLs, San Gabriel River Reach 2	3.9-13
Table 3.9-3. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.9-65
Table 3.10-1. Relevant Land Use Plans and Policies	3.10-2
Table 3.10-2. Land Use Distribution within 0.5 Miles of Alternative 1 Washington	3.10-5
Table 3.10-3. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation.....	3.10-27
Table 3.11-1. A-Weighted Noise Descriptors	3.11-1
Table 3.11-2. FTA Land Use Categories and Noise Metrics.....	3.11-3
Table 3.11-3. FTA Recommended Construction Noise Limits (dBA)	3.11-5
Table 3.11-4. Ground-Borne RMS Vibration Impact Criteria for Annoyance During Transit Operations and Construction (VdB).....	3.11-6

Table 3.11-5. Construction Scenario Equipment Noise Reference Lmax Levels ¹ for the Two Loudest Pieces of Equipment for Each Scenario (dBA).....	3.11-10
Table 3.11-6. Summary of Noise Source Reference Data.....	3.11-10
Table 3.11-7. Build Alternatives Operating Characteristics	3.11-11
Table 3.11-8. Baseline Noise Levels Measured along the Project Corridor (in dBA)	3.11-15
Table 3.11-9. Summary of Project Noise Levels at Representative Receptors from Alternative 1 Washington (in dBA)	3.11-16
Table 3.11-10. Corridor-Wide Project Noise Impacts Along Alternative 1 Washington	3.11-17
Table 3.11-11. Summary of Project Noise Levels at Historic Properties Along the Alternative 1 Washington (in dBA) ¹	3.11-19
Table 3.11-12. Summary of Project Noise Levels at Parks, Schools, and Other Institutional Receptors Along Alternative 1 Washington (in dBA) ¹	3.11-20
Table 3.11-13. Summary of Construction Noise Levels at Representative Receptors from Alternative 1 Washington (in dBA)	3.11-23
Table 3.11-14. Summary of Project Vibration Levels at Representative Receptors from Alternative 1 Washington (in VdB)	3.11-33
Table 3.11-15. Corridor-wide Project Vibration and GBN Impacts Along Alternative 1 Washington	3.11-34
Table 3.11-16. Summary of Project Vibration Levels at Historic Properties Alternative 1 Washington (in VdB).....	3.11-35
Table 3.11-17. Summary of Project Vibration Levels at Parks, Schools, and Other Institutional Receptor Sites (in VdB).....	3.11-36
Table 3.11-18. Corridor-wide Project Vibration and GBN Impacts Along Alternative 1 Washington with the Atlantic/Pomona Station Option.....	3.11-37
Table 3.11-19. Summary of Project Vibration Levels at Representative Receptors from Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS (in VdB)	3.11-40
Table 3.11-20. Corridor-wide Project Vibration and GBN Impacts Along Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS.....	3.11-41
Table 3.11-21. Summary of Project Vibration Levels at Parks, Schools, and Other Institutional Receptor Sites for Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS (in VdB)	3.11-42
Table 3.11-22. Summary of Project Vibration Levels at Representative Receptors from Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS (in VdB).....	3.11-44
Table 3.11-23. Corridor-wide Project Vibration and GBN Impacts Along Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	3.11-45
Table 3.11-24. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation.....	3.11-51
Table 3.12-1. GSA Population Trends	3.12-3
Table 3.12-2. GSA Household Trends.....	3.12-4
Table 3.12-3. GSA Employment Trends	3.12-5
Table 3.12-4. General Demographic Characteristics of Census Tracts within 0.5 Miles of Stations.....	3.12-6
Table 3.12-5. Housing Characteristics of Census Tracts within 0.5 Miles of Stations.....	3.12-7

Table 3.12-6. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.12-15
Table 3.13-1. Alternative 1 DSA Fire Stations	3.13-5
Table 3.13-2. Alternative 1 DSA Police Stations	3.13-5
Table 3.13-3. Schools within 0.25 Miles of Build Alternatives	3.13-7
Table 3.13-4. Other Public Facilities within 0.25 Miles of Build Alternatives	3.13-7
Table 3.13-5. Parks and Recreational Facilities within 0.25 Miles of Build Alternatives	3.13-8
Table 3.13-6. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.13-27
Table 3.14-1. Detailed Study Area Transit Routes and Lines (Rail and Bus)	3.14-7
Table 3.14-2. Regional Transportation Statistics for Existing Conditions	3.14-10
Table 3.14-3. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.14-51
Table 3.15-1. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.15-19
Table 3.16-1. Solid Waste Disposal Landfills	3.16-11
Table 3.16-2. Summary of Impact Determinations for Build Alternatives and MSF Options	3.16-41
Table 3.17-1. Historic Population Growth, 2010-2019	3.17-4
Table 3.17-2. Historic Household Growth, 2010-2019	3.17-4
Table 3.17-3. Historic Employment Growth, 2010-2019	3.17-5
Table 3.17-4. Historic Unemployment Rates, 2015-2019	3.17-6
Table 3.17-5. Land Use Types Within 0.25 mile of Proposed Stations	3.17-6
Table 3.17-6. Population Growth, 2020-2045	3.17-7
Table 3.17-7. Household Growth, 2020-2045	3.17-8
Table 3.17-8. Employment Growth, 2020-2045	3.17-8
Table 3.17-9. Summary of Mitigation Measures and Impacts After Mitigation	3.17-19
Table 3.18-1. Local Transportation Plans and Projects within the DSA	3.18-10
Table 3.18-2. Related Projects within the DSA by Jurisdiction	3.18-14
Table 3.18-3. Summary of Mitigation Measure Applicability	3.18-29
Table 3.18-4. Summary of Cumulative Impacts Determinations With Implementation of Mitigation	3.18-32
Table 5-1. Concepts and Alternatives Withdrawn	5-3
Table 5-2. Components of the Build Alternatives	5-7
Table 5-3. Project Objectives Comparison	5-11
Table 5-4. Comparison of Impact Determinations by Alternative for Environmental Resources with Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	5-30
Table 6-1. 2014 Public Hearing Information for the 2014 Draft EIS/EIR	6-3
Table 6-2. 2017 Post Draft EIS/EIR Technical Study - 2016 Community Meetings	6-5
Table 6-3. 2017 Post Draft EIS/EIR Technical Study - 2017 Community Meetings	6-6
Table 6-4. 2019 Scoping Meetings for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-10
Table 6-5. 2020 Post-Scoping Meetings for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-11
Table 6-6. 2021 Community Outreach Meetings for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-12
Table 6-7. 2021 Community Outreach Events Attended by Metro	6-13
Table 6-8. 2022 East Los Angeles Business Meetings for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-14

Table 6-9. 2022 Public Outreach Meetings for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-15
Table 6-10. 2022 Public Hearings for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-20
Table 6-11. Public Review Contact Information for the Recirculated Draft EIR	6-20

Figures

Figure ES.1. Regional Location Map	ES-2
Figure ES.2. General Study Area and Detailed Study Area	ES-3
Figure ES.3. Alternative 1 Washington	ES-10
Figure ES.4. Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS	ES-11
Figure ES.5. Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS	ES-12
Figure 2.1. Alternative 1 Washington GSA and DSA	2-4
Figure 2.2. Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/ Citadel IOS GSA and DSA	2-6
Figure 2.3. Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS GSA and DSA.....	2-7
Figure 2.4. Land Uses.....	2-8
Figure 2.5. Major Activity Centers	2-9
Figure 2.6. Alternative 1 Washington.....	2-13
Figure 2.7. Atlantic Station (Relocated/Reconfigured) Conceptual Site Plan	2-17
Figure 2.8. Atlantic/Whittier Station Conceptual Site Plan.....	2-17
Figure 2.9. Commerce/Citadel Station Conceptual Site Plan.....	2-18
Figure 2.10. Greenwood Station Conceptual Site Plan	2-18
Figure 2.11. Rosemead Station Conceptual Site Plan.....	2-19
Figure 2.12. Norwalk Station Conceptual Site Plan.....	2-19
Figure 2.13. Lambert Station Conceptual Site Plan	2-20
Figure 2.14. Atlantic/Pomona Station Option.....	2-21
Figure 2.15. Montebello At-Grade Option.....	2-23
Figure 2.16. Alternative 2 Atlantic to Commerce/Citadel IOS	2-24
Figure 2.17. Alternative 3 Atlantic to Greenwood IOS.....	2-27
Figure 2.18. Commerce and Montebello MSF Site Options	2-31
Figure 2.19. Commerce MSF Site Option Site Plan	2-32
Figure 2.20. Montebello MSF Site Option Site Plan	2-33
Figure 2.21. Montebello MSF S-Curve Alignment.....	2-34
Figure 2.22. Metro OCS for LRT Vehicles.....	2-35
Figure 2.23. Typical Light-Rail TPSS.....	2-37
Figure 3.1.1. Landscape Units and Locations of Photograph Viewpoints	3.1-5
Figure 3.1.2. Viewpoint 1: Metro Atlantic Station and Beverly Boulevard in the Foreground	3.1-8
Figure 3.1.3. Viewpoint 2: Metro Atlantic Station at 3 rd Street	3.1-8
Figure 3.1.4. Viewpoint 3: Atlantic Boulevard at 4 th Street	3.1-9
Figure 3.1.5 Viewpoint 4: Golden Gate Theater (CVS Pharmacy)	3.1-9
Figure 3.1.6. Viewpoint 5: Atlantic Boulevard at Verona Street.....	3.1-10
Figure 3.1.7. Viewpoint 6: Citadel Outlets Main Entrance	3.1-11
Figure 3.1.8. Viewpoint 7: Citadel Outlets.....	3.1-11

Figure 3.1.9. Viewpoint 8: Smithway Street Behind Citadel Outlets	3.1-12
Figure 3.1.10. Viewpoint 9: Davies Avenue at Corvette Street	3.1-13
Figure 3.1.11. Viewpoint 10: Pacific Metals Company Building Constructed 1955.....	3.1-14
Figure 3.1.12. Viewpoint 11: Washington Boulevard at Garfield Avenue.....	3.1-14
Figure 3.1.13. Viewpoint 12: Washington Boulevard at Carob Way.....	3.1-15
Figure 3.1.14. Viewpoint 13: Washington Boulevard Over Rio Hondo.....	3.1-16
Figure 3.1.15. Viewpoint 14: Rio Hondo Spreading Grounds and Rio Hondo.....	3.1-16
Figure 3.1.16. Viewpoint 15: Washington Boulevard Across Rio Hondo Spreading Grounds	3.1-17
Figure 3.1.17. Viewpoint 16: Washington Boulevard at Rosemead Boulevard Adjacent to Pico Rivera Towne Center.....	3.1-18
Figure 3.1.18. Viewpoint 17: Dal Re Restaurant Constructed 1951	3.1-19
Figure 3.1.19. Viewpoint 18: Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Depot Constructed 1886.....	3.1-19
Figure 3.1.20. Viewpoint 19: Cliff May-Designed Ranch House Constructed 1953	3.1-20
Figure 3.1.21. Viewpoint 20: Washington Boulevard at Millux Avenue	3.1-20
Figure 3.1.22. Viewpoint 21: Washington Boulevard in the Background	3.1-21
Figure 3.1.23. Viewpoint 22: San Gabriel Mountains in the Background	3.1-22
Figure 3.1.24. Viewpoint 23: Washington Boulevard at Norwalk Boulevard	3.1-23
Figure 3.1.25. Viewpoint 24: Washington Boulevard at Broadway.....	3.1-23
Figure 3.1.26. Viewpoint 25: Washington Boulevard at Appledale Avenue	3.1-24
Figure 3.1.27. Viewpoint 26: Washington Boulevard at Lambert Road	3.1-25
Figure 3.1.28. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard at Greenwood Avenue.....	3.1-35
Figure 3.1.29. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard at Rio Hondo Spreading Grounds	3.1-37
Figure 3.1.30. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard at Rosemead Boulevard.....	3.1-38
Figure 3.1.31. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard at San Gabriel River	3.1-39
Figure 3.1.32. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard at Pioneer Boulevard	3.1-41
Figure 3.1.33. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard East of Sorensen Avenue.....	3.1-42
Figure 3.1.34. Visual Simulation: Washington Boulevard at Greenwood Avenue (At-Grade Option)	3.1-44
Figure 3.2.1. Ten-Year Ozone Concentration Trends	3.2-16
Figure 3.3.1. Alternative 1 Biological Resources Study Area.....	3.3-3
Figure 3.3.2. Alternatives 2 and 3 Biological Resources Study Area	3.3-4
Figure 3.3.3. Vegetation Map of Alternative 1 Crossing of the Rio Hondo and Spreading Grounds	3.3-11
Figure 3.3.4. Vegetation Map of Alternative 1 Crossing of the San Gabriel River	3.3-12
Figure 3.3.5. Alternative 1 Crossing of the Rio Hondo.....	3.3-13
Figure 3.3.6. Alternative 1 Crossing of the San Gabriel River.....	3.3-14
Figure 3.4.1. Overview of Central Manufacturing District.....	3.4-10
Figure 3.4.2. Golden Gate Theater Constructed 1927 (5176 Whittier Boulevard)	3.4-16
Figure 3.4.3. Vail Field Industrial Addition Potential Historic District	3.4-18
Figure 3.4.4. Vail Field Industrial Addition Construction Timeline	3.4-19
Figure 3.4.5. Pacific Metals Company Building Constructed 1955 (2187 Garfield Avenue)	3.4-20
Figure 3.4.6. Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company Warehouse Constructed 1952 and Existing Rail Alignment Facing Washington Boulevard (2353 Garfield Avenue).....	3.4-21

Figure 3.4.7. Greenwood Elementary School Constructed 1947 (900 South Greenwood Avenue)	3.4-22
Figure 3.4.8. South Montebello Irrigation District Building Constructed 1940 (864 Washington Boulevard)	3.4-23
Figure 3.4.9. Site of the Battle of Rio San Gabriel Commemorative Shelter	3.4-24
Figure 3.4.10. Dal Re Restaurant Constructed 1951 (9023 Washington Boulevard)	3.4-25
Figure 3.4.11. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Depot Constructed 1886 (9122 Washington Boulevard)	3.4-26
Figure 3.4.12. Cliff May-Designed Ranch House Constructed 1953 (6751 Lindsey Avenue)	3.4-27
Figure 3.4.13. Steak Corral Restaurant Constructed 1965 (11605 Washington Boulevard)	3.4-28
Figure 3.5.1. In-State Electric Generation by Fuel Type	3.5-8
Figure 3.5.2. California Energy Use by Sector (2010-2019)	3.5-9
Figure 3.5.3. California Gasoline and Ethanol Consumption (2003-2018)	3.5-10
Figure 3.5.4. California Diesel Fuel, Biodiesel, and Renewable Diesel Consumption (2003-2018)	3.5-10
Figure 3.5.5. California Transportation Electricity Consumption (2003-2017)	3.5-11
Figure 3.5.6. 2017 Metro Energy by End Use	3.5-13
Figure 3.5.7. Southern California Edison Electric Transmission Lines	3.5-15
Figure 3.5.8. California Natural Gas Consumption - All Sectors (2000-2018)	3.5-16
Figure 3.5.9. Statewide Natural Gas Historic and Forecasted Consumption	3.5-16
Figure 3.6.1. GSA Geology Map	3.6-7
Figure 3.6.2. Regional Faults	3.6-10
Figure 3.6.3. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone for the East Montebello Fault	3.6-11
Figure 3.6.4. Liquefaction and Landslide Hazard Zone Map	3.6-14
Figure 3.8.1. Resource Study Area for Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.8-5
Figure 3.8.2. U.S Geological Survey Topographic Map	3.8-8
Figure 3.8.3. Affected Properties with Documented Releases	3.8-18
Figure 3.8.4. Oil and Gas Wells and Pipeline Locations	3.8-22
Figure 3.9.1. Alternative 1, Alternative 2, and Alternative 3 Watersheds	3.9-10
Figure 3.9.2. Surface Water Resources in the Alternative 1 Detailed Study Area	3.9-11
Figure 3.9.3. FEMA Flood Zones in the Alternative 1 Detailed Study Area	3.9-16
Figure 3.10.1. Existing Land Uses within 0.5 Miles of Alternative 1 Washington	3.10-6
Figure 3.10.2. Land Use Distribution within 0.25 Miles of Alternative 1 Washington Stations	3.10-7
Figure 3.11.1. Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects	3.11-4
Figure 3.11.2. Representative Noise Monitoring Locations	3.11-8
Figure 3.13.1. Public Services Locations	3.13-6
Figure 3.14.1. Detailed Study Area Transit Routes	3.14-6
Figure 3.14.2. Existing and Planned Bicycle Facilities (2042)	3.14-12
Figure 3.16.1. Water Service Providers	3.16-10
Figure 3.18.1. Regional Transportation Network with Measure M Build-Out by 2057	3.18-7
Figure 3.18.2. Metro Rail and Busway with Measure M Transit Projects	3.18-8
Figure 3.18.3. Land Use Development Projects within the DSA	3.18-16
Figure 5.1. Development of Alternatives and Screening Process	5-3

Appendices

Appendix A	Notice of Preparation and Scoping Summary Report
Appendix B	Visual and Aesthetics Impacts Report
Appendix C	Air Quality Impacts Report
Appendix D	Biological Resources Impacts Report
Appendix E	Cultural Resources Impacts Report
Appendix F	Utilities Service/Systems and Energy Conservation Impacts Report
Appendix G	Geology and Soils Impacts Report
Appendix H	Climate Change and Greenhouse Gases Impacts Report
Appendix I	Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impacts Report
Appendix J	Hydrology and Water Quality Impacts Report
Appendix K	Land Use and Planning Impacts Report
Appendix L	Noise and Vibration Impacts Report
Appendix M	Community and Neighborhood Impacts Report
Appendix N	Transportation and Traffic Impacts Report
Appendix O	Tribal Cultural Resources Impacts Report
Appendix P	Construction Impacts Report
Appendix Q	Cumulative Impacts Report
Appendix R	Growth Inducing Impacts Report
Appendix S	Public Outreach
Appendix T	Eastside Transit Corridor Phase 2 Study Alternative Analysis (AA) Report Addendum
Appendix U	Project Measures
Volume 2	Advanced Conceptual Design