

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
I-710 CORRIDOR PROJECT EIR/EIS
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
16401 Paramount Blvd., Paramount, CA
January 21, 2009**

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Bill Pagett at 1:42 PM.

II. Roll Call

PRESENT: Bill Pagett, City of Paramount; Carlos Alvarado, Cities of Bell and Cudahy; Joe Dyer, City of Bell Gardens; Victor Rollinger, City of Carson; Bob Zarrilli, City of Commerce; Dave Hewitt, City of Compton; Patrick Fu, City of Huntington Park; Mark Christoffels, City of Long Beach; Daniel Ojeda, City of Lynwood; Tom Melendrez, City of Maywood; Charlie Honeycutt, City of Signal Hill; Mohammad Mostahkami, City of South Gate; Kevin Wilson, City of Vernon; Amir Alam, LACDPW; Ron Kosinski, Caltrans; Ernest Morales, Metro; Kerry Cartwright, POLA; Jolene Hayes, POLB; Philip Law, SCAG; Peter Greenwald, SCAQMD; John Doherty, ACTA; Arevik Petrosyan, SCE.

ABSENT: Ed Norris, City of Downey; Michelle Noch, FTA/FHWA; Mark Sedlacek, LADWP.

Other attendees included: Adrian Alvarez, Metro; Jerry Wood, GCCOG; Jack Joseph, GCCOG; Abdi Saghafi, Caltrans; Mario Gutierrez, Caltrans; Gary Garrigue, SCE; Chi Hua Ho, LAUSD; Dave Levinsohn, URS; Rob McCann, LSA; Jack Waldron, URS; Dave Levinson, URS; Julia Lester, Environ; Shannon Willits, URS; Esmeralda Garcia, MIG.

III. Pledge of Allegiance

The pledge of allegiance was led by Daniel Ojeda.

IV. Amendments to the Agenda

There were no amendments to the agenda.

V. Public Comments

There were no public comments.

VI. Consent Calendar

It was moved by Mark Christoffels, seconded by Bob Zarrilli, to approve the minutes of the meeting of January 14, 2009. The motion passed unanimously.

VII. Reports

A. Screening Alternative(s) Recommendations

Rob McCann reviewed the discussions on screening alternatives that were provided at the previous meeting. That presentation concluded with the following recommendations from the consultants:

- Recommended Alternative 6 be carried forward into EIR/EIS
 - ❖ Includes Alternatives 1, 2, 4 and 5A
 - ❖ Alternative 5B eliminated: similar impacts to 5A, but lower benefits

- Recommended Advanced Technology (Alternative 3) be carried forward into EIS/EIR
 - ❖ As variation of Alternative 6

Jerry Wood of GCCOG handed out and discussed some recommendations from GCCOG for these alternatives. A copy of that handout is attached. Comments on this material were requested.

Kerry Cartwright said that the project should entail some sort of electrification apparatus to be evaluated. Ron Kosinski said he questioned how a theoretical alternative can be carried in Alternative 6. Bill Pagett said that it could be assumed that four lanes will ultimately be used for alternative technology, but initially used by trucks. Peter Greenwald said that the SCAQMD strongly supports a zero emission system. He said rail electrification is used now elsewhere in the world. Ron Kosinski said it would be cleaner if Alternatives 3 and 6 were evaluated separately. Mark Christoffels said it makes a huge difference if the wording in Alternative 6 is “up to 10 general purpose lanes” rather than “10 general purpose lanes”.

It was the consensus of the TAC to continue this item to the next TAC meeting in February, following the workshop to be held on Alternative Technology where the screening recommendations will be developed for transmittal to the Project Committee at its April meeting. It was moved by Kerry Cartwright, seconded by Bob Zarrilli, to continue this item to the next TAC meeting on February 18. The motion passed unanimously.

B. Presentation of Draft Studies

1. Los Angeles River Impact Review

2. Air Quality/Health Risk Assessment Status/Protocols Review
3. Utility Impacts Review
4. Freight Corridor Access Analysis Review

The consultants made power point presentations summarizing the status and results to date of the above listed studies. SCE asked to have some language inserted into the utility impact presentation for subsequent presentations. SCE will provide the language for insertion. It was the consensus of the TAC to have the subject working groups review the Air Quality/Health Risk Assessment and then bring it back to the TAC. After further discussion it was moved by Bob Zarrilli, seconded by Mohammad Mostahkami, to receive and file the presentations and information. The motion passed unanimously.

VIII. Comments from Committee Members

Chairman Bill Pagett and staff reminded the TAC members to provide their comments on the refined geometric plans. Bill Pagett reminded the TAC members of the January 29 Project Committee meeting and encouraged them to brief their respective members. Mohammad Mostahkami asked how TAC members can get information about the activities of the community advisory committee. Esmeralda Garcia of MIG responded that she can report on these at each TAC meeting.

IX. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by consensus at 3:42 PM.