

Agenda

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee

➔ Windsor Conference Room, 15th Floor

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Call to Order
<i>1 min</i> | Action (<i>Bahman Janka</i>) |
| 2. Approval of Minutes
Attachment 1: Draft August 19, 2010 Minutes
Attachment 2: Sign in Sheet/Attendance Sheet
Attachment 3: 90 Day Rolling Agenda
<i>1 min</i> | Action (<i>Subcommittee</i>) |
| 3. Chairperson Report
<i>5 min</i> | Information (<i>Bahman Janka</i>) |
| 4. Metro Report
• STP-L Balances
Attachment 4: STP-L Balance Sheet
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Fulgene Asuncion</i>) |
| 5. Caltrans Report
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Kirk Cessna</i>) |
| 6. Legislative Update
• Federal
• State
<i>15 min</i> | Information (<i>Michael Turner,
Raffi Hamparian, Marisa Valdez
Yeager</i>) |
| 7. CTC Update
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Patricia Chen</i>) |



Metro

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

- | | |
|--|---|
| 8. 2011 Call For Projects
Attachment 5: Response to Comments
<i>15 min</i> | Action (<i>Rena Lum</i>) |
| 9. SB-375 Update
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Sarah Jepson</i>) |
| 10. Congestion Mitigation Fee/Draft 2010
Congestion Management Program
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Robert Calix/
Stacy Alameida</i>) |
| 11. I-405 Sepulveda Pass Improvements Project
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Devon Cichoski</i>) |
| 12. New Business
<i>5 min</i> | Discussion (<i>Subcommittee</i>) |
| 13. Adjournment
<i>1 min</i> | Action (<i>Subcommittee</i>) |

The next meeting of the Streets and Freeways Subcommittee will be held on October 21, 2010 at 1:30 p.m. on the 15th Floor, Windsor Conference Room. Please contact Fulgene Asuncion at (213) 922-3025 should you have any questions or comments regarding this or future agendas.

Agendas can be accessed online at: http://www.metro.net/about_us/committees/sfs/sfs.htm

Attachment 1

August 19, 2010 Streets and Freeways Meeting Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee

Revised

Windsor Conference Room, 15th Floor

Members & Alternates Present: Fulgene Asuncion (M), John Asuncion (ex-officio), Kirk Cessna (M), Mark Firkins (A), Steve Forster (M), Bahman Janka (Chair), Elaine Jeng (A), Ken Johnson (M), Marianne Kim (M), Paul Maselbas (M), Carlos Rios (A), Nicole Rizzo (M), Fred Zohrehvand (M).

1. Call to Order (Bahman Janka, Chair)

The meeting was called to order at 1:35 PM.

2. Approval of the July 15, 2010 Minutes (Subcommittee)

The minutes were approved with no objections.

3. Chairperson Report (Bahman Janka, Chair)

Mr. Janka did not report.

4. Metro Report (Fulgene Asuncion, Metro)

Ms. Asuncion reported that the Metro Board will not meet in August. She stated that two emails were sent to Subcommittee members regarding the HR 5730 Earmark Rescissions and the ARRA Inactive Project list. Subcommittee members should remember to forward the emails to the appropriate contacts and ensure that the appropriate deadlines are met.

5. Caltrans Report (Kirk Cessna, Caltrans)

Mr. Cessna reported that Caltrans recently reinstated staff furloughs and agencies should be aware of the impact that will have on operations. Caltrans District 7 is finishing up their review of the Safe Routes To School Cycle 9 applications and will be sending them to Caltrans Headquarters in a week. During this cycle, they received 77 applications, which is down a little from previous cycles. The final list of applicants is expected from Headquarters in about a month. Mr. Cessna reminded agencies to submit their ARRA invoices on a regular basis.

Mr. Maselbas stated that Denix Anbiah recently emailed a Look Ahead report of potential inactive ARRA projects to agencies. He asked if the list was accurate or if it needs to be updated. Mr. Cessna responded that the Look Ahead reports are simply a tool that agencies can use to see what they have coming up in order to plan their workload.

6. Legislative Update (Michael Turner, Metro)

State

Mr. Turner reported that the State has still not produced a budget; however, most of the transportation funding issues were addressed when the state approved the sales tax/ gas tax swap. One issue that does affect transportation funding is the State's ability to sell bonds. If a budget does not get passed which allows the State to sell bonds, Metro will be forced to stop work on certain projects, such as the I-405 Sepulveda Pass Improvements Project and the Metro Orange Line Extension. The Legislature has approved a set of recommendations that will appropriate funds for the various bond categories that will keep these projects afloat, but without a budget, the State simply cannot sell bonds.

Mr. Turner reported that the 2009-2010 California Legislative Session ends this month and both houses are currently processing a large number of bills. Some of those bills are important to Metro, such bills modifying the legislative notice requirements in Measure R, or that extends the timeframe on our High Occupancy Toll (HOT) lanes program. Assembly Speaker John Perez gave a press conference regarding a package of bills approved in response to the financial scandal in the City of Bell. There will be new requirements regarding the salaries of council members and key city officials, and transparency requirements as to what kind of information must be posted online. Information regarding these measures can be found online at the League of Cities website at <http://www.cacities.org>. Mr. Turner reported that Metro staff is looking into the legislative program for next year, and welcomed any comments from agencies.

Mr. Maselbas asked if Metro has produced position papers on Proposition's 22, 23 or 26. Mr. Turner responded that Metro officially supports Prop 22 (the Local Taxpayer, Public Safety, and Transportation Protection Act). Metro was one of the original sponsors of the proposition and funded a lot of the research that went into it. Metro is not taking positions on any of the other measures.

Federal

Mr. Turner reported that there has been a lot of talk regarding a reauthorized transportation bill. Congress is in recess, so nothing is expected until after the mid-term elections. Senator Boxer is expected to put out principles for her reauthorization program in September and Chairman Oberstar has already put out an extensive proposal on the reauthorization. Mr. Turner reported that there has been some progress on the approval of the appropriations bills; however, the process has not been concluded. When Congress gets back from recess, either an Omnibus Appropriations Act or some form of a continuing resolution is expected. Mr. Turner reported that there have been a number of grant opportunities through the TIGER program, the Livability Initiative program, and various opportunities coming from the Department of Transportation. Metro is trying to take advantage of these grant opportunities.

7. CTC Update (Patricia Chen, Metro)

Ms. Chen did not report.

8. 2011 Call For Projects (Rena Lum and Modal Leads, Metro)

Ms. Lum reported that the FY 11 Call for Projects application will include a few new additions to help provide a better context for the applicants. The first change is to provide more background information on the Call. This will aid first time applicants by providing information on the purpose and process of the Call while the second addition

will provide more information on the Guidelines. Metro is proposing four new guidelines to the application: improving mobility, maximizing person throughput on streets, reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), and reducing Greenhouse Gas emissions (GHGe). The third addition will be a new section on sustainability. In the previous Call, there was only one generic question on sustainability per mode. In addition to these new sections, Metro will separate Goods Movement from the RSTI mode to create a new mode.

Ms. Lum reported that Metro will try to create more consistency with the financial aspects of the application. For example, to avoid redundancy in the online application, financial figures entered at the beginning of the application will be automatically populated to the appropriate fields later on in the application, making it necessary for the applicant to enter the numbers only once. Metro is tentatively planning to hold a small informal workshop for any applicants that are interested in the online application process. Details on the workshop will be distributed as it becomes available. The new applications will include a discussion on complete streets; however no firm conclusions on what to include has been made as of yet. Lastly, Metro will include a checklist in each of the modes regarding what impact the project will have on other modes. Metro is still in the process of determining how to include the checklist in the applications, and it is not expected to be a point generating mechanism. Ms. Lum reported that each modal lead will give a brief update on proposed changes in their mode.

RSTI (Walt Davis)

Mr. Davis reported that there will be less money available in the RSTI mode than in previous Calls. In order to equally distribute the money, Metro is considering implementing a funding cap of \$2 million per project, and by encouraging projects that allow through traffic, such as left-hand turn pockets. Grade separation projects will more than likely still be available in the mode as long as agencies have a strong local match. Mr. Davis reported that unlike previous Calls, Goods Movement will not be included in the RSTI mode. Another change is that Metro is trying to incorporate the concept of complete streets. The CSAN map will still be used; however, there will be an overlay for the sustainability map and Metro will encourage projects that are in that map for future growth.

Marianne Kim (Automobile Club) asked if all projects require a hard match. Mr. Davis responded yes, 20% of the 35% local match and any amount above 35% must be a hard match.

Ken Johnson (Arterial ITS Configuration Management Group) asked how much will be available in the mode. Ms. Lum responded that it is too early to tell; however, it should be less than in previous Calls because it will divide available funding with Goods Movement projects.

Nicole Rizzo (North County Transportation Coalition) commented that the cap is a good idea because it allows smaller agencies a chance to compete for funds.

Carlos Rios (City of Los Angeles) asked if funding will be split 50/50 between the RSTI and Goods Movement modes. Mr. Davis responded that the funding split has not been finalized, but it will depend on the total amount of funds available.

Steve Forster (League of Cities, Gateway Cities) asked if there is a cap on the number of applications any one agency can submit. Mr. Davis responded no.

Elaine Jeng (League of Cities, Westside Cities) asked if additional points will be awarded to projects that allow through traffic. Mr. Davis responded no, as in the previous Call, projects with the greatest need will receive the highest quantitative points.

Ms. Kim asked what the approximate breakdown for the funding split between Goods Movement and RSTI projects was in the last Call. Mr. Davis responded that he does not know the exact split; however, in the last Call, four Goods Movement projects were funded for approximately \$6 million each.

Mr. Forster stated that one concern with not including a cap on the number of applications that a jurisdiction can submit is that large jurisdictions can manipulate the process by breaking down larger projects into numerous smaller projects for \$2 million a-piece, thereby limiting the availability of funds for smaller jurisdictions. Mr. Davis responded that he would communicate that point with Metro staff for consideration.

Goods Movement (Michelle Smith)

Ms. Smith reported that the Goods Movement application will mirror the RSTI application for the most part, with some minor format changes. At this point, there have been no discussions about placing a funding cap in this mode. As in previous Calls, eligible projects will include grade separations, truck access and intersection improvement projects, projects that support goods movement systems or business and land uses, and ports. Metro would like to encourage applicants to consider sustainability as well as complete streets. There will be no change on local match requirements and the same quantitative information will be requested (such as level of service, counts on truck volumes, etc.).

Mr. Forster asked what the definition of complete streets is. Ms. Smith responded that a precise definition is still being developed. Mr. Forster asked if there is a required local match. Ms. Smith responded yes, there is a 35% total required match (20% hard, 15% in-kind). Metro's contribution to agencies who have repeatedly taken part in previous Calls will be capped at 17%.

Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvements (Randy Lamm)

Mr. Lamm reported that adjustments will be made in two areas. The first change involves minor point redistribution in the project need or regional significance areas. Metro is considering reallocating some points to support planning efforts for signal projects. Local Jurisdictions can receive points for conducting planning studies for their signal and ITS needs in advance of submitting their applications. To receive points for planning studies, the jurisdiction would need to have completed their plan in the last three years and the cost estimate should be refreshed if appropriate. The question will ask if the planning study was submitted to the sponsoring agency's governing board, city council, etc. and whether they received it and/or approved it. The project in the application must be identified in the planning study to receive credit. The second change involves incorporating a set of sustainability questions. We will also look at a 'per project' funding cap and minor changes to streamline the application to make it more user friendly. As in the previous Call, there will be a multi-mobility component which will allow up to 30% of a related project element from another mode.

Mr. Janka stated that planning studies do not cost a lot of money (maybe \$100 to \$150,000) and agencies will not have enough time to conduct them before the applications are due in January, so Metro should fund those studies in the Call. Smaller cities can also benefit from funding for planning studies. Mr. Lamm responded that the Call is intended to fund capital projects. He said he will relay Mr. Janka's question within Metro; however, fund sources available in the Call are usually eligible only for capital projects.

Mr. Forster asked if it will be required for agencies to have presented their plans to their City Council or Board in order to be considered in the Call. Mr. Lamm responded that it is not required for the project to be eligible in the Signal Mode, but it would be recommended if sponsors want to receive points for that question.

Ms. Jeng asked how many points would be reallocated to support a planning study such as a Master Plan. Mr. Lamm responded that Metro has not determined that yet. The points will likely be reallocated from other questions in the application.

Bikeway Improvements (Anthony Jusay)

Mr. Jusay reported that Metro originally considered removing bike paths from the application but has decided to keep them in. Metro is considering a \$1 million cap on projects in the bike mode, due to the fact that bikeway and pedestrian improvements constitute only 7-8% of the total funding for the Call. Another change is to provide clearer and more concise language and to avoid redundancies. Questions in the regional significance section will be tailored towards 'bike to transit' projects to focus on regional trips outside of major cities. Questions in the Project Need & Benefit to the Transportation System section will focus on how the projects will help support bicyclists get around their local communities. There will also be efforts to include changes that Metro's sustainability program has recommended.

Mr. Janka asked how this mode is related to complete streets. Mr. Jusay responded that projects in the bike mode can accommodate good pedestrian design and/or signalization efforts that synch both bikes and motorists. Mr. Janka asked if a point system can be incorporated in all the modes for projects to receive extra points for fulfilling the complete streets concept. Mr. Jusay responded that Metro has discussed incorporating a checklist but has been having difficulty in making it universal throughout the different modes.

Mr. Forster stated that he agrees with a weighted point system that emphasizes projects that provide connectivity.

Pedestrian Improvements (Adela Felix)

Ms. Felix reported that the Pedestrian mode will involve only three minor modifications to the existing application. The changes will involve eliminating repetitiveness, encouraging multi-modal integration, and incorporating sustainability questions.

Transportation Enhancements (Reinland Jones)

Mr. Jones reported that there will be only minor modifications to this mode: involving basic changes to the language, and incorporating land use and sustainability in the application.

Sustainability (Desiree Portillo-Rabinov)

Ms. Rabinov reported that question five will be revised to include multiple questions on sustainability. Metro is considering adding three basic questions which will be customized for each separate mode. One question will utilize the Caltrans Smart Mobility 2010 Call for Action framework. Specifically, Metro will utilize their place types definitions with their transportation priorities. This will enable Metro to look at what types of projects will be built in those areas that connect land use with transportation. Metro will use SCAG's Compass Blueprint document as the base foundation for another question. This will enable Metro to determine how agencies tie in their transportation project with their strategic opportunity areas. The third question will look at Green building aspects, such as the sustainability design elements that will be incorporated. Metro is still working on developing the questions, and is customizing them for each of the modes.

Ms. Rizzo asked if xeriscaping and other similar things will be considered in the section regarding green buildings. Ms. Portillo-Rabinov responded yes.

Mr. Janka asked what the process is for the Call. Ms. Lum responded that in the past, information has been given verbally to each of the Subcommittees, whose recommendations are then forwarded to TAC. The next TAC meeting is scheduled for September 1, 2010. Mr. Janka stated that it is not fair to only give a verbal presentation of the proposed changes because Subcommittee members do not have enough time to process the information and make any recommendations. He stated that it would be better to prepare a brief summary of the changes so the Subcommittees would have time to review them before they make any recommendations. He suggested that Metro prepare a brief outline of all proposed changes and distribute it to Subcommittee members at the September meeting. Ms. Lum stated that these comments are being noted and will be accounted for. There is an upcoming Steering Committee meeting scheduled for the second week in September, and these and other issues will be discussed in further detail with that Committee. Metro will continue to provide monthly updates to TAC and the Subcommittees on any changes to the application. Mr. Janka asked what TAC will be approving at their September meeting. Ms. Lum responded that Metro will be presenting the working draft of the applications to TAC at their October 6th meeting. Mr. Janka asked if Metro can come back to the Subcommittee on September 16th for their recommendation. Ms. Lum responded yes; however, the application will not be finalized at that time. Mr. Janka requested that Metro come back in September to present a list of resolutions to these issues, so that the Subcommittee can make their recommendations at that time. Ms. Lum responded that they will provide an update on the application in September; however, the final application will not be available until October or November. Mr. Janka asked that information be placed in the agenda packet so that Subcommittee members have time to consider the information before making their recommendations. Ms. Lum responded that they will do that, but that the information will be high-level information only.

Mr. Rios asked when the applications will be due. Ms. Lum responded that the due date has been tentatively set for January.

9. RIITS 10-Year Strategic Plan Final Report (Desiree Portillo-Rabinov, Metro)

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov reported that the RIITS network is an interagency regional network that provides data on our arterial, highway, transit and rail systems. The data streams to Metro near real-time; anywhere from a one-second delay for transit information to an almost five-minute delay for data through the Archived Data Management System (ADMS). The RIITS network utilizes a web-based platform which can be accessed from anywhere. Agencies receive secure access to the system which allows them to receive personalized transit performance data. Metro uses FHWA and FTA ITS architecture and plans to launch the ADMS next month.

Mr. Janka asked if the RIITS System is open to everybody or only public agencies. Ms. Portillo-Rabinov responded that the system will only be open to public agencies; however, it is the backbone of the 511 system, which is open to the public.

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov reported that Metro conducted the Strategic Plan to update the vision and to identify and prioritize future investments to enhance the functionality of the multi-modal, multi-agency RIITS transportation data exchange network. The strategic plan was conducted in collaboration with a wide range of regional transportation operations, planning, and emergency management agencies, along with the new users of the system. The updated vision is to deliver multi-modal transportation information services through a flexible platform to achieve regional mobility, safety, and sustainability goals. The first step in the development of the Plan was to conduct a needs assessment with both existing and potential users of the system. Metro then evaluated the current and expected future conditions and prioritized strategic opportunities into three initiatives: Regional Data Management, Operations Support, and Integration.

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov reported that RIITS currently receives information from the Los Angeles Department Of Transportation (LADOT), California Highway Patrol, Caltrans Districts 7, 8 and 12, Metro bus and rail, and Long Beach and Foothill transit. Metro is working to include information from Metrolink, IEN data from the County of Los Angeles, and are upgrading the transponders to receive information more quickly from Metro Rapid. Ms. Portillo-Rabinov reported that the RIITS system distributes information to traveler information feeds (ISPs), the 511 program, available agency data feeds, the enhanced agency map, and public maps. In addition, Metro will capture and store information in the ADMS for three years. The six themes of critical transportation needs that were identified from feedback received during the focus groups process are: cost-effective solutions to mobility needs, efficient operation of the multi-modal network, corridor performance measurement, regional freight mobility, emergency management, and sustainability and climate change. Foundational elements that underpin the overall program include identifying sustainable funding for RIITS capital investment and operations, continuing stakeholder partnerships (including outreach and technical collaboration), and performance and reliability enhancements to the RIITS technology network. Operational information stored in RIITS will be consolidated and mined in the ADMS and presented in a format that is accessible and valuable to participating agencies for a variety of applications, such as policy and investment decisions. The ADMS system will be launched in September.

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov reported that the Strategic Plan has a 10-year planning horizon. Metro will be conducting grant developments to support the strategic initiatives, which have been broken down into near, medium and long term initiatives based on

stakeholder prioritization. The full Strategic Plan document can be found online at www.riits.net. Currently, Metro funds \$1.5 million annually for the foundational elements of RIITS. Metro will need an additional \$36.5 million over the next 10 years in order to implement the three initiatives. Of the \$36.5 million, 40% would go towards operations support (including emergency management), 14% towards regional data management, 29% towards current funding levels, 9% towards foundational elements, and 8% towards integration of regional networks.

Mr. Maselbas stated that RIITS would be a very useful tool to review countywide performance. He asked if performance is now being measured by travel times rather than 'level of service' by intersection. Ms. Portillo-Rabinov responded yes, and the RIITS program will take into consideration more than just level of service types of performance measurements. She stated that Metro will be forming a stakeholders group, and anyone who is interested in joining can contact her at 213-922-3039 or rabinovd@metro.net. The committee should be formed by the end of October.

10. Gerald Desmond Bridge DB Nomination Process (Michelle Smith, Metro)

Ms. Smith reported that the Port of Long Beach (POLB) requested that Metro apply on their behalf for design-build contracting authority with the California Transportation Commission (CTC). Metro will seek Board approval next month to authorize CEO Art Leahy to enter into any necessary agreements. The contracting authority is available through the CTCs Design-Build Demonstration Program. The Demonstration Program authorizes use of the design-build method of procurement by Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPA) for up to five projects and by the DOT for up to ten projects. Because the POLB is neither a RTPA nor a State DOT, they must go through either Metro or Caltrans. Ms. Smith reported that the Gerald Desmond Bridge project is a regionally significant project, with a current estimate of approximately \$950 million. Metro is currently drafting a term sheet that will accompany the Board report which outlines the terms, conditions and Metro's responsibility as the pass-through entity for any resulting funding.

Mr. Johnson asked who will manage the project. Ms. Smith responded the POLB; however, Metro will likely have someone on the selection team, review information, and provide quarterly reports to the CTC.

11. New Business (Subcommittee)

No new business was reported.

12. Adjournment (Subcommittee)

The meeting was adjourned at 2:55 PM. The next meeting of the Streets and Freeways Subcommittee will be held on September 16, 2010 at **1:30 PM** in the 15th Floor Windsor Conference Room. Please contact Fulgene Asuncion at (213) 922-3025 or by email asuncionf@metro.net should you have any questions or comments regarding this or future agendas, or wish to place an item on the agenda.

Attachment 2

Sign In Sheet/Attendance Sheet

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee - August 19, 2010

MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES						
Name	Agency	Phone	Fax	E-mail	Initial	
Marianne Kim	Automobile Club	(714) 885-2325	(714) 885-2331	kim.marianne@aaa-calif.com	MK	
Stephen Finnegan (A)	Automobile Club	(714) 885-2307	(714) 885-2331	finnegan.steve@aaa-calif.com	MK	
Kirk Cessna	Caltrans	(213) 620-6544	(213) 620-6583	kirk.cessna@dot.ca.gov	KC	
Alberto Angelini (A)	Caltrans	(213) 897-0208	(213) 897-0618	alberto.angelini@dot.ca.gov	AA	
Sgt. Cindy Pontes	California Highway Patrol	(323) 259-2014	(323) 258-8121	cpontes@chp.ca.gov	CP	
Of. Mark Firkins (A)	California Highway Patrol	(323) 259-2010		mfirkins@chp.ca.gov	MF	
Paul Maselbas (Vice-Chair)	County of Los Angeles	(626) 458-3902		pmaselbas@dpw.co.la.ca.us	PM	
Allan Abramson (A)	County of Los Angeles	(626) 458-3950	(626) 458-3192	aabrams@dpw.lacounty.gov	AA	
Steve Huang	League of Cities (South Bay Cities COG)	(310) 318-0661 x 2431		steve.huang@redondo.org	SH	
Vic Rollinger (A)	League of Cities (South Bay Cities COG)	(310) 952-1700	(310) 835-5749	vrollinger@carson.ca.us	VR	
Nicole Rizzo	League of Cities (No. County Trans Coalition)	(661) 723-5893	(661) 952-5374	nrizzo@cityoflanasterca.org	NR	
Debbie O'Leary (A)	League of Cities (No. County Trans Coalition)	(661) 284-1427	(661) 254-3538	doleary@santa-clarita.org	DO	
Sharon Perlstein	League of Cities (Westside Cities)	(323) 848-6383	(323) 848-6564	sperlstein@wheo.org	SP	
Elaine Jeng (A)	League of Cities (Westside Cities)	(310) 253-5621	(310) 253-5626	elaine.jeng@culvercity.org	EJ	
Fred Zohrehvand	League of Cities (Arroyo Verdugo Cities)	(818) 548-3960	(818) 409-7027	fzohrehvand@ci.glendale.ca.us	FZ	
Jano Baghdanian (A)	League of Cities (Arroyo Verdugo Cities)	(818) 548-3960	(818) 409-7027	jbaghdanian@ci.glendale.ca.us	JB	
Bahman Janka (Chair)	League of Cities (San Gabriel Valley COG)	(626) 744-4610	(626) 396-8693	bjanka@cityofpasadena.net	BJ	
Craig Bradshaw (A)	League of Cities (San Gabriel Valley COG)	(909) 399-5474		cbradshaw@ci.claremont.ca.us	CB	
Steve Forster	League of Cities (Gateway Cities/South East)	(562) 943-0131	(714) 522-5800	sforster@cityoflamarada.org	SF	
Charlie Honeycutt (A)	League of Cities (Gateway Cities/South East)	(562) 989-7351	(562) 989-7391	choneycutt@ci.signal-hill.ca.us	CH	
Robert Brager (A)	League of Cities (Las Virgenes/Malibu)	(310) 456-2489x24	(310) 456-3356	rbrager@ci.malibu.ca.us	RB	
Ramiro Adeva	League of Cities (Las Virgenes/Malibu)	(818) 597-7322		radeva@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us	RA	
Vacant	TDM/AQ Subcommittee					
Fulgene Asuncion	LACMTA	(213) 922-3025	(213) 922-6996	asuncionf@metro.net	FA	
Alan Patashnick (A)	LACMTA	(213) 922-3080	(213) 922-6996	patashnickalan@metro.net	AP	
Ken Husting	City of Los Angeles	(213) 972-5008	(213) 482-6910	ken.husting@lacity.org	KH	
Carlos Rios (A)	City of Los Angeles	(213) 972-4963		carlos.rios@lacity.org	CR	
Mark Christoffels (A)	City of Long Beach	(562) 570-6771	(562) 570-6012	mchristoffels@longbeach.gov	MC	
Sumi Gant	City of Long Beach	(562) 570-6618	(562) 570-7161	sgant@ci.long-beach.ca.us	SG	
Ken Johnson	Arterial ITS Configuration Management Group	(818) 238-3965	(818) 238-3918	kjohnson@ci.burbank.ca.us	KJ	
Phil Wray (A)	Arterial ITS Configuration Management Group	(626) 574-5488	(626) 447-7866	pwray@ci.arcadia.ca.us	PW	
Michelle Mowery	Bicycle Coordination	(213) 972-4962	(213) 972-4919	michelle.mowery@lacity.org	MM	
LaDonna DiCamillo (ex-officio)	Goods Movement	(323) 267-4041	(323) 267-4111	ladonna.dicamillo@bnstf.com	LD	
Lupe Valdez (ex-officio) (A)	Goods Movement	(626) 935-7617		lvaldez@up.com	LV	
John Asuncion (ex-officio)	SCAG	(213) 236-1936	(213) 236-1963	asuncion@scag.ca.gov	JA	
Pablo Gutierrez (ex-officio) (A)	SCAG	(213) 236-1929	(213) 236-1963	GUTIERRE@scag.ca.gov	PG	

Attachment 3

90-Day Rolling Agenda



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Wednesday, September 15, 2010 PLANNING & PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Adopt Findings Recommendations and Resolution for FY 2010-11 TDA Article 8 Unmet Transit Needs.	Paul Taylor
➤ Authorize CEO to negotiate and enter into a loan agreement to fund Ventura County Transportation Commission's portion of SCRRRA's costs for Positive Train Control under a Letter of No Prejudice for Proposition 1A Funds, subject to the conditions set forth in LACMTA Board February 2009 Motion.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive oral update on Regional Rail Matters.	Paul Taylor
➤ Receive and file status report on the progress of Eastside Transit Corridor Phase 2.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive and file update on the Los Angeles County Bus Speed and Street Design Improvement Plan.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive and file Fiscal Year 2007-2009 Triennial Review Report.	Paul Taylor

Wednesday, September 15, 2010 FINANCE & BUDGET COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Renew Group Insurance Policies Covering Non Contract, AFSME and EXPO Employees.	Terry Matsumoto
➤ Approve form of Master Trust Indenture and Financing Documents and authorize issuance of Measure R Bonds.	Terry Matsumoto
➤ Adopt Resolutions extending the program termination dates for Prop A and Prop C Commercial Paper Programs.	Terry Matsumoto
➤ Adopt Debt and Interest Rate Swap Policies.	Terry Matsumoto
➤ Ratify contract PS09714049 with Epsilon in the amount of \$37,520.	Matt Raymond
➤ Receive monthly oral report from the Chief Financial Services Officer.	Terry Matsumoto



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Wednesday, September 15, 2010 AD HOC CONGESTION PRICING COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive and file Los Angeles County Congestion Reduction Demonstration Project Status Report.	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file the draft ExpressLanes Privacy Statement.	Doug Failing

Thursday, September 16, 2010 MEASURE R PROJECT DELIVERY COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award a firm fixed price contract PS4340-2538 to Cityworks Design to perform design and outreach work for the Eastside Access Project in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,094,404; and Contract Modification Authority for up to 15 percent of the above amount to cover the cost of any potentially unforeseen issues that may arise during the above phase of the project.	Martha Welborne
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to: Award and execute Contract No. PS4170- _____ to _____ to provide professional services in an amount not to exceed \$12,000,000, inclusive of project contingency and contract options, to prepare a Feasibility Study and three optional Project Status Reports for the I-605 Hot Spots Project ; Contract modification authority for up to 15 percent of total project budget to cover the cost of any potentially unforeseen issues that may arise during the performance of the contract; and Amend the FY 11 Budget to add 0.3 FTE's to the Construction Department, Project Management Division, Project Control Function, to support the Project.	Doug Failing
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to exercise a 21-month cost plus fixed fee option to Contract No. E0117 for Phase II Preliminary Engineering, Design/Build Construction Contract Development and Bid Period Services to Hatch Mott McDonald for an amount not to exceed \$21.0 million for Crenshaw/LAX Transit Corridor Project.	K.N. Murthy
➤ Receive and file update on the High Desert Corridor project ; and Approve removing the condition that we and the San Bernardino Council of Governments (SANBAG) become members of the Joint Powers Authority (HDCJPA) Board of Directors for entering into the a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the HDC Project's environmental clearance. ➤ Continued next page	Doug Failing



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, September 16, 2010 MEASURE R PROJECT DELIVERY COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
Continued from previous page	
➤ Receive and file status report on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/EIR) Public Scoping meetings for the South Bay Metro Green Line Extension .	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive and file Crenshaw/LAX Transit Corridor Project Park Mesa Heights Grade Separation Analysis .	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive and file an update on the progress of the development of Measure R Highway Operational Improvements in the Arroyo Verdugo, Las Vigernes/Malibu and South Bay Subregions.	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file status report on Post 1989 Retrofit Soundwall Program .	Doug Failing
➤ Receive oral report on status of Measure R Implementation	K.N. Murthy

Thursday, September 16, 2010 EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT & AUDIT COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Approve the Portal-to-Portal Pay Agreement between AFSCME and LACMTA .	Richard Hunt
➤ Restructuring of Organization	Paul Taylor
➤ Receive and file status of Audit on MTA Litigation Cost Management Practices .	Karen Gorman
➤ Receive and file the year-end report of Management Audit Services (Management Audit) for the period ending June 30, 2010.	Ruthe Holden
➤ Receive and file Follow-Up Performance Audit of Non-Competitive Procurements .	Ruthe Holden
➤ LOP approval - Central Remote Monitoring System of Existing Bus Division CCTV Systems at USG Phase I.	Paul Taylor
➤ Receive oral report of the Chief Communications Officer . *No report for September. Report will be given in October.	Matt Raymond
➤ Receive oral Federal/State Affairs update .	Art Leahy



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, September 16, 2010 CONSTRUCTION COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to Amend FY 11 Budget to add 1 Full Time Equivalent (FTE) to the Safety Enhancement Program for the Exposition Light Rail Transit Project – Phase I within the already approved life of project budget of \$1,300,000 and the already approved FY11 budget of \$850,000.	K.N. Murthy
➤ Approve a unified process and policy for the evaluation of project cost increases against the transit program trade-offs necessary to secure sufficient resources for all 12 transit projects in the 30/10 Initiative , including a requirement for the prompt development and consideration of lower-cost project alternatives that address the cost- control policy actions of the MTA Board of Directors to date.	Martha Welborne
➤ Amend the FY11 budget by \$1.5 million in Proposition C 10% funds for the Lankershim Depot Transit Center Rehabilitation project .	K.N. Murthy
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to proceed with Preliminary Engineering of Red Line Segment 3 Close-Out Universal CityStation Pedestrian Bridge for a Design/Build type Contract , and amend the FY11 Budget to add \$ 750,000 to cover the cost of this activity.	K.N. Murthy
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to increase funds for Contract No. EN075 for Environmental Compliance Services in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,000,000 increasing the total Contract Value from \$6,300,000 to \$7,300,000.	K.N. Murthy
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to approve the FY11 Annual Work Plan for City of Los Angeles .	K.N. Murthy
➤ Receive and file status report on Project Management Information System (PMIS) implementation .	K.N. Murthy
➤ Receive and file Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension Project Budget Status Report .	K.N. Murthy
➤ Receive Chief Capital Management Officer Report .	K.N. Murthy



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, September 16, 2010 OPERATIONS COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award one or more 60-month, indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity contracts, under RFP No. OP39222548 to provide Communications Systems equipment and support for the Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension, Exposition Line Phase II and MTA internal projects for an amount not to exceed \$##### inclusive of sales tax; and Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award one or more 60 month, indefinite delivery indefinite quantity contracts, under IFB OP39222584 to provide Communications Systems equipment and support for the Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension, Exposition Line Phase II and MTA internal projects for an amount not to exceed \$##### inclusive of sales tax. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award a two-year firm fixed-price unit rate contract under Bid No. 10-0029 to Wabtec Passenger Transit, the single lowest responsive and responsible bidder, for the overhaul of Metro Red Line heavy rail vehicle friction brake system equipment for an amount not to exceed \$1,500,928. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Establish life-of-project (LOP) budget of \$4,484,214 for the Metro Blue Line and Metro Green Line Transit Passenger Information System (TPIS), CP#_____. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award a 36-month, indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity, firm fixed price contract under Bid Number 10-0038 to The Jankovich Company, the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for synthetic transmission oil for an amount not-to-exceed \$3,821,533 inclusive of sales tax and two one-year options. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Award Contract for Convenience Copying, Print and Document Management Services. 	Michelle Caldwell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award a five and a half-year firm fixed price contract, Contract No. OP39612514, to NextBus, Inc., for a real time bus arrival information system in an amount not-to-exceed \$1,649,900 inclusive of two one-year options. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Board finds that the bids received for lube trucks under Public Utilities Code section 130232 have been rejected and the lube trucks may be purchased at a lower price on the open market. The Board hereby authorizes purchase of those on the open market pursuant to Public Utilities Code section 130233; Requires Two-Thirds Vote; and Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award a firm fixed price contract under IFB No. OP34342557 in an amount not to exceed \$1,394,351 inclusive of sales tax. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Approve service changes to Tier I bus lines scheduled to be implemented effective December 12, 2010. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Receive and file a report discussing distance and time based fare policies. 	Lonnie Mitchell
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Receive Transit Operations Report. 	Lonnie Mitchell



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, September 16, 2010 AD HOC SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive and file status report on the California Air Resources Board's proposed regional greenhouse gas emission reduction targets for automobiles and light trucks pursuant to Senate Bill 375.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive update on Metro Sustainability Project Implementation.	K.N. Murthy

Thursday, September 23, 2010 REGULAR BOARD MEETING	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Approve the changes proposed by Planning & Programming Committee to this Receive and File status report on the acceleration of schedules and project costs for the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) incorporated the 30/10 initiative.	Martha Welborne

Wednesday, October 20, 2010 PLANNING & PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Approve the Highway Programs Planning & Deliverable Bench Contract: Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to establish Bench Contracts under Request for Interests and Qualifications (RFIQ) PS4010-2540 to the contractors recommended for a three-year period beginning on November 1, 2010 for professional services not to exceed a cumulative total value of \$ _____ million; and Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to execute Task Orders under the individual Bench Contracts up to \$ _____ per contract.	Doug Failing
➤ Adopt the 2010 Congestion Management Program (CMP) for Los Angeles County.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive and file Construction Status Report on Alameda Corridor East Grade Separation Projects.	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file this status report on Los Angeles County Traffic Forum projects funded through the Call for Projects.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive and file status report on our Bicycle Program.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive oral update on Regional Rail Matters.	Paul Taylor



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Wednesday, October 20, 2010 FINANCE & BUDGET COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive monthly oral report from the Chief Financial Services Officer.	Terry Matsumoto

Wednesday, October 20, 2010 AD HOC CONGESTION PRICING COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Approve Express Lane Violation Policy.	Doug Failing
➤ Increase Life of Project Budget for (unspecified)	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file Los Angeles County Congestion Reduction Demonstration Project Status Report	Doug Failing

Thursday, October 21, 2010 MEASURE R PROJECT DELIVERY COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Approve the Draft Environmental Impact Report/Statement for the Regional Connector Transit Corridor Study ; Designate a Locally Preferred Alternative; and Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to exercise the option in Contract No. PS 4320-1985 to prepare the FEIR/S.	Martha Welborne
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to exercise the following contract options for the Westside Subway Extension : 1. the Final Environmental Impact Statement/Report and Preliminary Engineering with PB America's Inc.; 2. Outreach in support of the Final Environmental Impacts Statement/Report with Robert Group; and 3. Contract modifications up to _____. A. Receive the Westside Subway Extension Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Report (Draft EIS/EIR); B. Approve the Locally Preferred Alternative; C. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to prepare and submit a Request to Enter New Starts Preliminary Engineering with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA); D. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: 1. Exercise options to Contract No. 4350-2000 with PB Amercias Inc., to prepare the Final EIS/EIR and Preliminary Engineering in the amount of \$ ____ increasing the total contract value from \$ ____ to \$ ____; 2. Exercise an option to Contract No. 4350-1995 with The Robert Group, to conduct the facilitation of community outreach in the amount of \$ _____, increasing the total contract value from \$ _____ to \$ _____, and 3. Contract modifications from up to 15% of the above amounts to cover the cost of any potentially unforeseen issues that may arise during the above phases. ➤ Continued on next page	Martha Welborne



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, October 21, 2010 MEASURE R PROJECT DELIVERY COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
Continued from previous page	
➤ Receive and file status report on the I-5 North Capacity Enhancement Project.	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file status report on the implementation of Los Angeles County's High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Program.	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file the Crenshaw/LAX Corridor Maintenance Facility Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment ; and Adopt _____ as the Locally Preferred Alternative Maintenance Facility location.	Martha Welborne
➤ Receive oral report on status of Measure R Implementation	K.N. Murthy

Thursday, October 21, 2010 EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive oral report of the Chief Communications Officer.	Matt Raymond

Thursday, October 21, 2010 CONSTRUCTION COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive and file status report on the implementation of four-quadrant gates along the Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension (MGLEE) alignment.	Martha Welbor
➤ Receive Chief Capital Management Officer Report.	K.N. Murthy



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, October 21, 2010 OPERATIONS COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Authorize the Award of Contracts, Modifications to Freeway Service Patrol Contracts, and Operational Restructuring.	Doug Failing
➤ Award Contract to Purchase 320 Horsepower Bus Engines .	Lonnie Mitchell
➤ Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award a five-year firm fixed unit rate contract, Contract No. OP3344-2544 to Specialty Doors & Automation, Inc., the lowest responsive, responsible bidder, to provide roll-up doors repairs and installation service for MTA transit facilities in an amount not to exceed \$1,337,750, inclusive of two option periods, effective July 1, 2010.	Lonnie Mitchell
➤ Award Contract for Pest Control Services .	Lonnie Mitchell
➤ Award contract to purchase Bus Engine Replacement Repower Kits .	Lonnie Mitchell
➤ Receive and File Report On Bus/Rail Interfacing (Director Antonovich Request at 7/27/10 Board of Directors Meeting).	N/A
➤ Receive and file the report on the Metro Vanpool Program .	Matt Raymond
➤ Receive Transit Operations Report .	Lonnie Mitchell

Wednesday, November 17, 2010 PLANNING & PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive oral update on Regional Rail Matters .	Paul Taylor

Wednesday, November 17, 2010 FINANCE & BUDGET COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive monthly oral report from the Chief Financial Services Officer .	Terry Matsumoto

Wednesday, November 17, 2010 AD HOC CONGESTION PRICING COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive and file Los Angeles County Congestion Reduction Demonstration Project Status Report	Doug Failing



**MTA 90-DAY ROLLING AGENDA:
SEPTEMBER THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010**

Thursday, November 18, 2010 MEASURE R PROJECT DELIVERY COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive and file status report on the SR 138 Capacity Enhancement Project .	Doug Failing
➤ Receive and file status report on the implementation of the Measure R Subregional Operational Improvement projects .	Doug Failing
➤ Receive oral report on status of Measure R Implementation .	K.N. Murthy

Thursday, November 18, 2010 EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Amend the Federal Fiscal Year 2010 (FFY10) Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Overall Goal to _____% for Federal Fiscal Years 2010 – 2012.	Michelle Caldwell
➤ Receive oral report of the Chief Communications Officer .	Matt Raymond

Thursday, November 18, 2010 CONSTRUCTION COMMITTEE	
ACTION/RECOMMENDATION	EXECUTIVE LEAD
➤ Receive Chief Capital Management Officer Report .	K.N. Murthy

Attachment 4

STP-L Balance Sheet

Attachment 5

Response to Comments



Metro

Metropolitan Transportation Authority

One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

213.922.2000 Tel
metro.net

DATE: September 13, 2010

TO: Streets & Freeways Subcommittee Members

FROM: Brad McAllester *Brad McAllester*
Executive Officer, Long Range Planning & Coordination

SUBJECT: 2011 Call for Projects
Follow-Up to August 19, 2010 Meeting

This memorandum addresses the questions raised by members of the Streets & Freeways Subcommittee at their August 19th meeting where MTA staff presented the proposed changes to the 2011 Call for Projects Application Package.

The attachment provides a response to those questions.

Attachment

2011 Call for Projects
Follow-Up to August 19, 2009 Streets & Freeways Subcommittee Meeting

Issue: The Call should limit the number of applications that can be submitted by each jurisdiction.

Response: The purpose of the Call for Projects is to implement MTA's multi-modal programming responsibilities associated with our adopted Long Range Transportation Plan. We considered limiting the number of applications that any one jurisdiction can submit but, historically, this has not been within the spirit and intent of the Call and this was rejected. Moreover, the Call provides critical funding for local jurisdictions for needed regionally significant transportation improvements.

Issue: Provide a definition for "Complete Streets".

Response: At this point, there is no consensus for a definition of "Complete Streets" that would technically fit each of the eight modal categories in a way that would be helpful in the evaluation process. Rather, we are recommending the inclusion of a checklist at the front of the application. Applicants will be required to complete an "Impact Checklist" which asks for information about the scope of the project, and whether the project negatively or positively impacts other modes of transportation. Similar to the existing "Project Readiness" checklist, it will not have points associated, but will be a requirement for an application to be considered complete.

Issue: Do "Complete Streets" tie in with the pedestrian mode or with all other modes?

Response: The concept of "Complete Streets" will be encouraged.

Issue: Provide funding for ITS Master Plans.

Response: MTA must fund projects in accordance with state, federal and local regulations. The sources of revenues programmed through the Call only permit the funding of capital projects in each of the eight modal categories. Specifically, the Signal Synchronization projects are mostly funded with Proposition C 25 percent and federal CMAQ funds. Those funds do not allow for planning studies. Other potential sources of funds outside of the Call for Projects include SCAG's Overall Work Plan, but at this time due to funding shortfalls, SCAG is not able to fund ITS Master Plans either, despite their worthiness.

Issue: The Call should encourage connectivity between existing bike projects.

Response: Project connectivity is included in the first evaluation criteria, "Regional Significance & Intermodal Integration", and has always been encouraged by MTA. Please refer to page 12 of the 2009 Call for Projects Draft Application Package for further discussion on project connectivity.